1	
2	CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES
3	ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR MEDICAID ASSISTANCE
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Via Videoconference
13	May 25, 2023 Commencing at 10:03 a.m.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Shana W. Spencer, RPR, CRR Court Reporter
22	Court Reporter
23	
24	
25	
	1

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ADVISORY COUNCIL MEMBERS:
4	Elizabeth Partin - Chair
5	Nina Eisner (not present) Susan Stewart Dr. Jerry Roberts
6	Dr. Garth Bobrowski - Co-chair Dr. Steve Compton
7	Heather Smith Dr. John Muller (not present)
8	Dr. Ashima Gupta John Dadds (not present)
9	Dr. Catherine Hanna Barry Martin
10	Kent Gilbert Mackenzie Wallace (not present)
11	Annissa Franklin (not present) Sheila Schuster
12	Bryan Proctor Peggy Roark
13	Eric Wright
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	2

ı	
1	CHAIR PARTIN: We'll call the
2	meeting to order. We need roll call, please.
3	MS. BICKERS: Okay. I have Beth
4	Partin.
5	CHAIR PARTIN: Here.
6	MS. BICKERS: Nina Eisner?
7	(No response.)
8	MS. BICKERS: Susan Stewart?
9	(No response.)
10	MS. BICKERS: Jerry Roberts?
11	MR. ROBERTS: Here.
12	MS. BICKERS: Heather Smith?
13	(No response.)
14	MS. BICKERS: Garth Bobrowski?
15	DR. BOBROWSKI: Here.
16	MS. BICKERS: Steve Compton?
17	DR. COMPTON: Here.
18	MS. BICKERS: John Muller?
19	(No response.)
20	MS. BICKERS: Ashima Gupta?
21	DR. GUPTA: Here.
22	MS. BICKERS: John Dadds?
23	(No response.)
24	MS. BICKERS: Catherine Hanna?
25	(No response.)
	3

1	
1	MS. BICKERS: I think did I hear
2	a "here"?
3	DR. HANNA: Here. Can you hear me?
4	MS. BICKERS: Okay. I did. Thank
5	you. I thought I heard you. Sorry about
6	that.
7	Barry Martin?
8	MR. MARTIN: Here.
9	MS. BICKERS: Kent Gilbert?
10	MR. GILBERT: Here.
11	MS. BICKERS: Mackenzie Wallace?
12	(No response.)
13	MS. BICKERS: Annissa Franklin?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIR PARTIN: Sheila Schuster?
16	(No response.)
17	MS. BICKERS: Bryan Proctor?
18	MR. PROCTOR: Here.
19	MS. BICKERS: Peggy Roark?
20	(No response.)
21	MS. BICKERS: Eric Wright?
22	DR. WRIGHT: Here.
23	MS. BICKERS: Okay.
24	CHAIR PARTIN: Do we have a quorum?
25	MS. BICKERS: I was trying to count
	4

1	as we went through. And I believe I saw
2	Sheila log in. Sheila, did you say here?
3	DR. SCHUSTER: Yes, I did.
4	MS. BICKERS: There you are.
5	DR. SCHUSTER: Yeah. Thank you.
6	MR. MARTIN: And Heather Smith is
7	here as well.
8	DR. WRIGHT: Yeah. I saw her. She
9	made a note in the chat.
10	MS. BICKERS: Okay. I believe you
11	have a quorum. Thank you. I was trying to
12	count and call names at the same time. Thank
13	you, guys.
14	DR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, may I
15	make a quick comment?
16	CHAIR PARTIN: Sure.
17	DR. WRIGHT: I know that I brought
18	the other issue of the PDS legislative rate
18 19	
	the other issue of the PDS legislative rate
19	the other issue of the PDS legislative rate increase. I'm here at this meeting. I do
19 20	the other issue of the PDS legislative rate increase. I'm here at this meeting. I dowant us to be able to have a conversation
19 20 21	the other issue of the PDS legislative rate increase. I'm here at this meeting. I do want us to be able to have a conversation about that.
19 20 21 22	the other issue of the PDS legislative rate increase. I'm here at this meeting. I do want us to be able to have a conversation about that. Unfortunately, I did have a conflict
19 20 21 22 23	the other issue of the PDS legislative rate increase. I'm here at this meeting. I do want us to be able to have a conversation about that. Unfortunately, I did have a conflict that's going to come up at 11:00 that may

1	rejoin after that. I just wanted to make
2	sure you are aware of that.
3	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. We can move
4	that up on the agenda.
5	DR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
6	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. So would
7	somebody like to make a motion for approval
8	of the minutes?
9	DR. BOBROWSKI: So moved.
10	DR. SCHUSTER: Second. This is
11	Sheila Schuster.
12	CHAIR PARTIN: Any discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIR PARTIN: All in favor, say
15	aye.
16	(Aye.)
17	CHAIR PARTIN: Anybody opposed?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIR PARTIN: So moved. Thank
20	you.
21	And, Erin, welcome back.
22	MS. BICKERS: Thank you. It's good
23	to be back.
24	Oh, and it looks like in the chat, Peggy
25	Roark has joined us.
	6

1	CHAIR PARTIN: Great.
2	Okay. So under old business, what is
3	the status of the Anthem MCO?
4	COMMISSIONER LEE: Good morning.
5	I'm Lisa Lee, Medicaid commissioner. That is
6	still in litigation so no update at this
7	time.
8	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Do we have
9	any idea how long that's going to go on?
10	COMMISSIONER LEE: Absolutely no
11	idea how long it could go on. It is in the
12	court system, so it's a little bit out of our
13	hands. But if we hear any updates, we will
14	definitely pass that along.
15	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. I'll just
16	keep it on the agenda.
17	And the last I heard, the letter to the
18	governor regarding the workforce study was
19	going to be sent, and has it been sent?
20	COMMISSIONER LEE: So I guess this
21	is an example of where great minds think
22	alike. You know, the report that you all had
23	attached to the letter was actually provided
24	to the University of Kentucky several months
25	back, and they had been working on updating

1	that report. And we hope to have a first
2	draft on July the 1 to share with this
3	committee so that you all could read it and
4	see if we need to do further work on that.
5	So that had been something that was in
6	process, so we did share the letter with the
7	secretary's office. But since we're already
8	in the process of updating that report, we
9	have not sent that to the governor's office.
10	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. So we'll put
11	that to the next meeting.
12	DR. SCHUSTER: May I ask a
13	question? This is Sheila Schuster.
14	CHAIR PARTIN: Sure.
15	DR. SCHUSTER: Are they, I assume,
16	Commissioner, using the same methodology that
17	Deloitte did? And that is looking at the
18	numbers that the licensure boards have.
19	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. They are
20	doing that and plus a few other things. We
21	had a meeting yesterday, and they said that
22	they hoped to have that report to us by July
23	1st. But before we put that out for public
24	consumption, we would definitely like the MAC
25	to take a look at that first and see if
	8

there's anything else that we need to do in
that report to kind of examine the workforce
issues in the state.
DR. SCHUSTER: Yeah. Because my
concern is that most of the licensure boards
don't have accurate information.
COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. They
are
DR. SCHUSTER: I think the Board of
Nursing is literally the only one that is
asking the questions that need to be asked,
you know. Are you working in your field?
Are you working full-time or part-time?
Where are you working? So they may have some
other data sources. I don't know.
COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes. Yes. And
we did give them the report, and they're
using that as the basis to examine workforce
studies. We had talked about the issues with
the licensure board. We also talked about
issues related to providers who may only be
working part-time.
We also shared with them the results of
the dental report that Dr. McKee and public
health did, I think, last year. So we shared

1	those observations with them, also. So,
2	again, hopefully have that by July 1st.
3	DR. SCHUSTER: Great. I appreciate
4	that they're working on it already. I think
5	that's fantastic. Thank you.
6	MR. ROBERTS: This is Roberts. Is
7	this done through the public health a
8	public health graduate program, or is it
9	extension service? Or what department at UK
10	is working on this?
11	COMMISSIONER LEE: So we have a
12	contract with the University of Kentucky.
13	They primarily do our data and analytics, and
14	so it's part of that data and analytics team
15	at the University of Kentucky. And they do
16	have some graduate students working on the
17	project.
18	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. The next item
19	is just a reminder that the maternal/child
20	update will be at our July meeting and then
21	the next item is a reminder that we will have
22	an update on missed and cancelled
23	appointments at our September meeting.
24	Next up is a presentation by DMS
25	regarding providers pay tax for matching
	10

1	funds.
2	MS. BICKERS: Steve, you should be
3	a cohost and should be able to share your
4	screen.
5	MR. BECHTEL: Okay. Can everyone
6	see my screen here?
7	CHAIR PARTIN: I can't.
8	DR. SCHUSTER: Not yet.
9	MR. BECHTEL: All right. Hold on
10	one second. Can you see it now?
11	DR. SCHUSTER: Yes.
12	CHAIR PARTIN: Yes.
13	MR. BECHTEL: Okay. So let
14	me start my so my understanding is
15	again, this is Steve Bechtel, chief financial
16	officer for the Department for Medicaid
17	Services.
18	I'm just going to give you a brief
19	overview of the provider tax. And my
20	understanding is the question in hand was
21	somebody wanted to look to see how the
22	provider tax is collected and then applied to
23	a rate increase, if that is correct.
24	But let me just start off by just doing
25	a brief summary of provider tax. CMS allows
	11

states to generate our nonfederal share,
which you hear a lot of us say "state share."
That's what we're talking about, is this
nonfederal share of our Medicaid
expenditures. Eighty percent of our
roughly, 80 percent of our expenditures are
paid by the Federal Government; whereas, the
remaining 20 percent either has to come from
general funds or some type of what we call
restricted funds.
And the way that CMS allows us to fund
those is through multiple sources, including
healthcare-related taxes. Sometimes you'll
hear us refer to them as provider taxes,
provider fees, provider assessments. These
sort of taxes, they're imposed on healthcare
providers as two different ways.
There's a percentage of revenue such
as and I've given you an example of, like,
five percent of revenues. Or we just do a
flat tax, which is like a dollar amount per
facility bed or per inpatient stay. Either
one of those two, there is a maximum, a
federal rule of six percent, that we cannot

exceed. So at six percent of your revenues,

1 we're not allowed to go above that. 2 But the state Medicaid programs -- not 3 just us. All state Medicaid programs across the nation utilize healthcare-related taxes 4 5 to help accomplish various things. common is to support Medicaid payment rates. 6 7 Another way is -- a lot of you hear of the 8 HRIP program, or you hear of -- that we have 9 the Hospital Rate Improvement Program now. 10 Or we have an ambulance kind of directed 11 payment as well. 12 To fund those, we do have -- the provider tax is how we use that in order 13 14 to -- in order to fund those supplemental and 15 directed payments. So some states use those. 16 Other states -- luckily, we haven't had 17 to do this yet, but some states will try to 18 avert a cut to Medicaid benefits. If the 19 legislature starts cutting budgets and they 20 have to look to cut -- and when I say "cut 21 budgets," I mean cut the general fund that 22 they provide the departments. 23 Sometimes states will say, hey, we're 24 going to have to cut these benefits in order 25 to meet the budget, but providers will agree

1 to pay the tax so that we can keep those 2 benefits going. Another thing is to expand Those are the most 3 Medicaid benefits. reasons that states use that. 4 5 But here in Kentucky, the majority -the main thing, the most common thing that we 6 7 use it for is the payment rates or the 8 directed or supplemental payments. 9 The next three slides, I'm not going to 10 go over too much in depth. My understanding 11 is -- I've sent this presentation to Erin, 12 and Erin is going to share that with you 13 guys. So you'll have that with the meeting 14 notes. 15 But the next one -- next several slides, 16 I'm going to just go over a couple of federal 17 requirements. Under -- I think last time 18 that we met, I put in the chat the 42 CFR. 19 That shows the 19 classes of services that we 20 are allowed to utilize a healthcare provider 21 tax. 22 So those are listed here. A few of 23 them, I'll just mention. Inpatient and 24 outpatient hospitals. We're allowed to do 25 physician services, home healthcare services,

1	outpatient, drugs, ICF, IDD as long as
2	it's there's a certain specification there
3	that you can read read on.
4	But the next two slides just keeps going
5	on those different types of services and
6	different service classes that we're allowed
7	to apply those healthcare-related taxes to.
8	So if you all have any questions on that
9	after you see this, don't hesitate to email
10	me or let me know. And I'll try to answer
11	any questions you have on that those three
12	slides. But it's just plain and simple.
13	It's pretty short and cut to the point.
14	And all I did was try to break that out
15	because I know how hard it is to read a
16	federal register sometimes. I just wanted to
17	put those into bullet points so that you all
18	can see those services over those three
19	slides.
20	This next slide, it's another federal
21	requirement that we're allowed to do, but we
22	have to meet three requirements. There's
23	three requirements in order to do a
24	healthcare-related.
25	It has to be broad based. Basically, it
	15

1	can't just be on Medicaid. It has to be on
2	all providers regardless if you take Medicaid
3	or not. It has to be or if you have a
4	higher type of you know, higher
5	utilization or higher portion of your
6	clientele is Medicaid, we can't we can't
7	pretty much impose a different amount on you.
8	And that's where the uniformed imposed
9	comes in. So rates cannot be higher on
10	providers' Medicaid revenue than it is on a
11	non-Medicaid revenue.
12	So you have to be broad based. You have
13	to be uniformed imposed and then you can't
14	you can't hold taxpayers harmless. And what
15	that means is we can't guarantee that you'll
16	be repaid for all or a portion of the amount
17	of your taxes that they contribute.
18	Now, if you take Medicaid most times,
19	you do. You may not get as much. But I am
20	going to show you an example. And for
21	simplicity, we've just made a few assumptions
22	here. And what I'm about to go over is how
23	we would update our Medicaid fee schedules
24	with a provider tax.
25	Now, going into you know, I know that
	16

1	90 percent of our care is now in managed
2	care. You know, they have their own rates,
3	but we would there is a process that we
4	would have to follow to make sure that that
5	goes through, and that's in the form of
6	either a directed payment or vice versa of
7	a you know, setting a limit or a floor
8	or type of thing.
9	But what for the purpose of this
10	illustration, this is just giving you a
11	brief, very simplistic view of how this
12	works. So in order for that, I'm going to
13	show you a
14	CHAIR PARTIN: Steve, we lost your
15	audio.
16	MR. BECHTEL: Can you hear me now?
17	CHAIR PARTIN: Yes.
18	MR. BECHTEL: Okay. Let me get
19	this little button out of my way, then.
20	The I don't know what you heard. Where
21	did so let me just start over.
22	So we're going to have the state in
23	this illustration, the state has three
24	providers and then that the state's
25	current FMAP is 75 percent. So each one of
	17

those three providers earns \$1,000 in net 1 patient revenue prior to the tax. And then I 2 3 gave you a listing here. 4 Let's say provider one has a high 5 Medicaid volume. Let's say 80 percent of that is Medicaid revenues, which accounts for 6 7 800 of the \$1,000. Provider two is 20 8 percent volume which accounts for \$200. 9 then provider three does not accept Medicaid 10 and has \$0 in Medicaid revenues; right? 11 So all three providers have to be 12 assessed a uniformed and broad-based tax. 13 And we're going to -- in this example, we're 14 going to use a 2 percent of its net revenues. 15 So 2 percent, each one of them will be paying 16 \$20, which for a total statewide assessment 17 of \$60 for those three providers. 18 The state can then -- when we collect 19 that \$60, we then take that \$60 of state tax 20 revenue, and we use that as our state share, 21 our nonfederal amount. So we can use that as 22 our state dollars, and we then turn that 23 around and draw in the federal share. 24 75 -- so, basically, you would be able to 25 draw in an extra \$180 on that \$60 to then

1 turn around and pay out \$240 back in the 2 rates; okay? 3 So let me just show you -- this is a little bit better, I think, easier to follow 4 5 But it'll show you the three for me. different providers, provider A, B, and C. 6 7 You have the high volume, low volume, and no 8 volume. Each of them are getting \$1,000 a 9 month in revenue, which is \$3,000 statewide, 10 \$1,000 statewide of Medicaid volume. 11 can see there, each provider has to pay \$20, 12 the 2 percent. Each provider has to do that. 13 And then what we could do is the 14 increased rate, it would be sent in back into 15 the way that you pay your -- you know, it'll 16 be in your Medicaid revenue. So where the 17 state down at the bottom gets \$3,000 in total 18 revenues, the 2 percent of tax, that's \$60. 19 And I've highlighted there that's the \$60 20 that the providers will pay. 21 \$60 represents the 25 percent of state 22 funds. \$180 is the 75 percent of federal; 23 whereas, you'll get an additional funding of 24 So your previous Medicaid funding was 240. \$1,000 statewide; right? You're adding that 25

1 That's 1,240. So that's a 24 back in. 2 percent rate increase. So you go back up, 3 and you then apply that 24 percent to the -to the Medicaid revenue because it has to be 4 5 paid out in Medicaid revenue. So you can see that provider A paid a --6 7 you know, paid \$20 but got a bigger return on 8 their money than provider B. Provider C paid 9 \$20 and received nothing because they have to 10 pay the tax. But if they're not receiving 11 Medicaid or if they do not accept Medicaid 12 members, they will not receive that. And that's where we run into a lot of 13 14 times where -- and I'm not just going to --15 you know, we looked at doing -- I made a 16 suggestion, I think, to Dr. Bobrowski on a 17 phone call one time. We was looking at 18 increasing dental rates at one time. And we 19 said, hey, we can do a provider tax, but only 20 30 percent, I think, or 40 percent of the 21 dentists in Kentucky accept Medicaid. So you 22 would be applying a tax to a larger portion 23 of providers that do not accept Medicaid, and 24 so we felt that that wasn't the way to go.

20

So that's kind of a thing that you have

to look at when you look at these taxes. But I did provide you the formulas over here in the red on how these lines were calculated so that you all can kind of back into my numbers. But that was just an illustration of a permissible arrangement that CMS will allow us to have, and it's very simplistic.

It's very -- you know, when we're dealing with it in reality, it's not as simple as this. There is a lot more. It can get in the weeds. I don't want to get in the weeds on this call, but there are a lot of things that we have to do.

We have to do a test to make sure we're not exceeding that 6 percent that I told you about. We have to do other things. We have to submit some documents to CMS and show them that these are applied broad based and things like that.

So -- but if we did do one of these, again, this is just fee for service. This is just the fee-for-service rates that would be adjusted. So this example only assumes that all three of these are all -- are fee for service only.

So if we did do a managed care in order to increase that, that's where the directed payments that you hear about come into play where -- because we cannot tell the MCOs what rates to pay. But we can do a directed payment program which has tied to it quality measures and things like that to where we can say, hey, pay X number of dollars or pay a specific floor or -- on the rate fee schedule and that type of thing.

The last slide that I have here is just the federal and state regulations legislation so -- where you can go in. I wanted to provide that for you all, the different CFRs and what they are addressing as well as the state legislation. We -- for every provider tax we have, it's in the KRS. It's in the statutes, and I've provided you those chapter numbers. It's 142.301 through 142.363. So each one of those has their own class.

So is there any -- I know I went through that really quickly and very, very abruptly. I'm not a teacher by any means, but I'll do the best I can to address any questions or concerns you may have.

1	CHAIR PARTIN: Steve, this is Beth
2	Partin. I have a couple of questions. For
3	rural health clinics and FQHCs, would the tax
4	be based on the fee that was paid minus the
5	wrap payment, or would the wrap payment be
6	included in that amount on the
7	MR. BECHTEL: Well, I'm glad you
8	brought that up. Rural health clinics and
9	FQHCs are paid a PPS rate. We have to pay
10	that federal PPS rate. There's nothing we
11	can do there. I mean, we can we have to
12	pay the it would not be in the wrap
13	payment, I wouldn't believe, but
14	CHAIR PARTIN: It would just be
15	based on the fee that was paid by Medicaid
16	and not
17	MR. BECHTEL: It would be it
18	would be I may have to defer to Veronica
19	on that topic, but I believe FQHCs and RHCs
20	are a little bit of a different animal where
21	they have to be paid the PPS rate. So I'm
22	not sure that we I don't go ahead,
23	Veronica.
24	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Hi. This is
25	Veronica Judy-Cecil, senior deputy
	23

1	commissioner at Medicaid. I do not
2	believe we could take that back, for that
3	question. But I do not believe that they
4	would be eligible for the provider tax model
5	because, as Steve mentioned, they are paid
6	the PPS rate. But we can take that back
7	specifically.
8	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. And then the
9	other question is: How is the tax paid? Is
10	it deducted from your fees, or is it a bill
11	that you get?
12	MR. BECHTEL: No. There's all
13	all the taxes are collected by the Department
14	of Revenue, Kentucky Department of Revenue,
15	and they do those collections. There's a
16	form excuse me. There's a form that you
17	have to submit with your payment.
18	Give me a minute. Let me I had a
19	tickle.
20	But there's a form out there I can
21	provide you. I can send that information to
22	Erin, and she can provide that to you.
23	There's a link out there for the Department
24	of Revenue where it shows how they collect
25	it. I believe that it's paid monthly, and
	24

1	it's based on your revenues each month.
2	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. So it would
3	be a bill that the practice would receive?
4	MR. BECHTEL: Correct. Correct.
5	But that would I would caveat that with
6	that I'm not familiar with their processes,
7	Department of Revenue's processes in
8	collecting those funds. So there is a number
9	there on that website for you to contact if
10	you had any questions on it. But I will
11	provide you the link to the Department of
12	Revenue website so that you can see those.
13	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
14	MS. BICKERS: Beth, Dr. Gupta had
15	her hand raised, then Dr. Bobrowski, and then
16	Dr. Compton. There's lots of questions.
17	MR. BECHTEL: Oh, good.
18	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Go ahead,
19	first one. Dr. Gupta?
20	DR. GUPTA: Hi. This is Ashima
21	Gupta. Yes. Thank you, Steve. That was
22	really a great summary, and I feel like I
23	understand it much better. I just wanted to
24	confirm. So if this were to be applied, it
25	couldn't just be, like, say, to, like, you
	25

1	know, pediatricians. It would have to be to
2	all healthcare providers in any facet across
3	the board; right?
4	MR. BECHTEL: Correct.
5	DR. GUPTA: Okay. So it would
6	really only benefit people who or
7	providers who do see a lot of Medicaid
8	patients. Because even in your example B,
9	for those who accept 20 percent of Medicaid,
10	they would still kind of be losing out a
11	little bit; right?
12	MR. BECHTEL: That is correct.
13	When we look at it, we look at it and
14	you've heard the commissioner say a
15	one-to-four match. You know, for every
16	dollar you send in, you sometimes will get
17	four dollars back. We're looking at that
18	statewide, not just but you could see it
19	really depends on your Medicaid volume on if
20	you'll get that one-to-four match versus, you
21	know, a lower match.
22	DR. GUPTA: Okay. Okay. Thank
23	you.
24	MR. BECHTEL: All right. And when
25	I said Dr. Gupta, when I said one to four,
	26

1	what I mean is \$60, \$240. So for every
2	dollar you send in, you're getting \$4 back on
3	a statewide look. But, obviously, like you
4	said, provider B and provider C is not
5	getting that type of return on their
6	payments.
7	DR. GUPTA: Okay.
8	MR. BECHTEL: Yep.
9	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Was it
10	Dr. Bobrowski was next?
11	DR. BOBROWSKI: Yes. Thank you.
12	Steve, correct me if I'm wrong, but this
13	is that form that you would fill out for
14	the Department of Revenue, I believe it would
15	be very similar to the use tax form that we
16	pay now. You know, like, if we buy supplies
17	from an out-of-state or buy gloves from an
18	out-of-state vendor, we have to and they
19	don't charge us a state sales tax, we have to
20	fill that form out and pay the tax at that
21	point. So I'm assuming it would be similar
22	to that use tax form.
23	And then but the Kentucky Dental
24	Association has talked about this numerous
25	times, about the provider tax. And we've

1	talked with Steve about it somewhat, too.
2	But just a lot of the dentists are not in
3	favor of that because there's so many more
4	that are not providers that would have to pay
5	a tax, you know, to that they never have
6	been a Medicaid provider. So that was one
7	stumbling point for us, you know, getting
8	this through the dental community.
9	The other thing was that a lot of the
10	Medicaid providers felt that and please
11	don't shoot the messenger. I'm just kind of
12	telling you feelings out there, that it just
13	felt like the Medicaid members were getting a
14	lot more than even the provider dentists were
15	getting.
16	And for an example, the MCOs would
17	pay a gift card of about \$50 to go and have a
18	dental examination done, and the dentist
19	would get \$26. That was an example that was
20	used.
21	The one of our reports that we
22	received was, you know, that one of the MCOs,
23	they even spend out over ten million dollars
24	in value-added benefits to the members,
25	which and I please don't shoot the

1 messenger but just the -- a lot of those 2 items are necessary for folks but, you know, 3 that was a major expense. The dentists haven't had a fee increase 4 5 in any Medicaid provider payments for 20 years up until we -- and I want to thank 6 7 Commissioner Lee for working with us. 8 are starting to get some. We've built up 9 some of the oral surgery codes and fees, so I 10 want to thank her and her staff for working 11 with us on that. 12 And then the State -- I guess this is 13 what we don't understand, is sometimes we see 14 the State give tax incentives to other 15 businesses to come into the state or tax 16 incentives to a business that's expanding 17 employment, you know, in their communities. 18 But yet when the MCOs came in, after 19 about a year, several of the MCOs cut the 20 rates to the provider dentists ten percent. 21 So they already have experienced a 10 percent 22 decrease and then now we're going to be asked 23 again for a 5 or 6 percent tax. 24 So that -- I'm just kind of trying to 25 explain the mindset and the thinking. And if 29

1	it's okay with you, I know the KDA has
2	another executive board meeting coming up in
3	a week or two. And I could share this
4	information that you've sent out here today,
5	Steve, if that's permissible and if I can get
6	on the agenda to present that this quick. I
7	may have to do it at their next meeting. But
8	I just wanted to share some thoughts and
9	sentiments on the dental arena with this, but
10	I'll be quiet now. Thank you.
11	MR. BECHTEL: Okay. Yeah. I don't
12	have a problem with you sharing this. It's
13	going to be public anyways, public
14	information. So I do not have an issue with
15	you providing that.
16	DR. BOBROWSKI: Okay. Thank you.
17	MR. BECHTEL: I just ask that if
18	there's any questions, please don't make
19	assumptions. Always feel welcome to reach
20	out, you know.
21	DR. BOBROWSKI: Yes. Okay. Yes.
22	MR. BECHTEL: As far as the
23	incentives and bringing that's something
24	that Medicaid is not involved with, so I
25	can't address that, Dr. Bobrowski. But the
	30

1	one comment, you know, that you made is
2	where they are paying the member and I
3	understand what you're saying. I do. And
4	I'm not shooting the messenger there.
5	But whatever we need to do to get
6	these our members in your all's doors to
7	get that dental care, that's you know, if
8	they're getting that dental care, that's
9	what's most important to us, is that they're
10	getting the care and that you all are getting
11	payment. Now, we're working on the payment
12	side of that.
13	DR. BOBROWSKI: Yes.
14	MR. BECHTEL: But that is that's
15	the most important.
16	DR. BOBROWSKI: Well, I agree. And
17	I know, working with Commissioner Lee and you
18	all, too, that and you all other
19	providers know that prevention is key to
20	holding down the overall cost on multiple
21	health avenues. So I think that's great to
22	continue that.
23	And one of the other things is we've
24	Commissioner Lee brought up that Kentucky is
25	49th in the nation in oral health care, and
	31

1	we're looking at ideas on: How can we move
2	Kentucky up that ladder? And I know we can't
3	go from 49th to 1st overnight, but I think
4	we've got to work as a community.
5	And I know, even around here, we've had
6	several of our physicians and nurses, you
7	know, talking with their patients. Say,
8	look, you need to get into the dentist, you
9	know. So that's we've got to encourage
10	each other and our patients to follow up on
11	care that might be out of our purview, but
12	it's just all about it's all about patient
13	care.
14	CHAIR PARTIN: Dr. Compton, I
15	believe you were next.
16	DR. COMPTON: Yes. Steve, if I
17	understood you right, you mentioned that the
18	MCOs would be able to use a value-based
19	system to determine payments to providers?
20	Did I hear that right?
21	MR. BECHTEL: You mean through
22	the from the provider tax itself?
23	DR. COMPTON: Well, yes.
24	MR. BECHTEL: We would have to fund
2425	MR. BECHTEL: We would have to fund that that funding would help us pay the

cap payments but then we would turn around,
and we would do a what we consider a
directed payment where a program, much like
what we do for the Hospital Rate Improvement
Program and the ambulance, where we say, hey,
for every trip or for every inpatient
discharge or things, you pay X number of
dollars. And that funds that, that program.
So in your case, let's say that we had
visits like, how many Medicaid people came
in for an eye exam or something like that.
We would pay, like, a per visit type of
thing. So how many visits you had, we would
set that up to where each provider got paid
based on their Medicaid volume, their
Medicaid visits.
But that would be something we'd have to
get approved through CMS, and you'd have to
tie what they call quality measures to it
which says, hey, by doing this program, we're
improving the health care of our state type
of thing.
DR. COMPTON: Okay. So those
value-based payments are not occurring now
with the MCOs

1	MR. BECHTEL: No.
2	DR. COMPTON: and any other
3	provider groups? Okay.
4	MR. BECHTEL: No. Not in response
5	to a provider tax, no, sir.
6	DR. COMPTON: Okay. But are they
7	occurring at all now?
8	MR. BECHTEL: Value-based payments?
9	Yeah. My understanding, there are
10	value-based payments occurring in the managed
11	care arena but not not for this subject
12	here of provider taxes so
13	DR. COMPTON: All right. Thank
14	you.
15	CHAIR PARTIN: And, Peggy Roark,
16	you had your hand raised.
17	MS. ROARK: Yes. This is Peggy
18	Roark, a Medicaid recipient. I just wanted
19	to add that as far as I know, this year and
20	maybe last year, I haven't seen any gift
21	cards for patients to go to the dentist, and
22	the gift cards they give out is only \$25.
23	I've never seen a 50-dollar gift card, not
24	unless all the MCOs are different.
25	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. I guess that
	34

1	would have to that would come under the
2	information that we get when it's updated
3	about what the MCOs are providing, incentives
4	to the participants. And doesn't that
5	usually come out at signup time; is that
6	correct?
7	MS. ROARK: Well, you know,
8	Janu this was a new year, and I've went
9	to some doctors. And I noticed that they
10	wasn't giving no incentives for dental, and
11	we need people to go to the dentist. Your
12	teeth is important. And I go I go to the
13	dentist. I don't need a gift card because I
14	want to take care of my teeth but, you know,
15	just to get people to go, like they do us in
16	your well checks, eyeglass exams, and all
17	that.
18	CHAIR PARTIN: Well, we will be
19	talking about the update on the dental and
20	hearing in a minute, so we can talk about
21	that a little bit more later.
22	MS. ROARK: Okay. Thank you.
23	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Any other
24	questions for Steve?
25	(No response.)
	35

1	MR. BECHTEL: Okay. So I will
2	like I said, I'll share I've already
3	shared this presentation with Erin to send
4	out to this group with the meeting minutes.
5	But I'll also send Erin, I'll send you
6	that link to the Department of Revenue, so
7	they can see how the funds and the forms in
8	which they have to fill out or on the
9	Department of Revenue's website so
10	MS. BICKERS: Thank you.
11	MR. BECHTEL: All right.
12	MR. MARTIN: Hey, Beth, this is
13	Barry. I'm trying to see where I can raise
14	my hand, but I don't see that option on my
15	screen right here.
16	Steve, what programs would this impact?
17	MR. BECHTEL: It really depends on
18	which it would be those services that
19	those first three pages under the federal
20	requirements that I was sharing with you,
21	that it showed the different, like, inpatient
22	hospital services, or we can do it on
23	outpatient hospital services or nursing
24	facilities or physician services. It's a
25	wide variety
	36

1	MR. MARTIN: Okay.
2	MR. BECHTEL: of things.
3	MR. MARTIN: What about rural
4	health clinics and FQs?
5	MR. BECHTEL: Right. That's what
6	I what me and Veronica was talking about
7	earlier. We don't know if that would apply
8	to them because they're paid the PPS rate, so
9	we would have to see how that would work.
10	MR. MARTIN: Okay. I'm sorry. I
11	missed that part.
12	MR. BECHTEL: That's okay.
13	MR. MARTIN: I stepped away for a
14	second.
15	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you,
16	Steve. Very informative.
17	If council members don't mind, we will
18	be a little bit flexible here and allow Eric
19	to talk about the PDS legislative rate
20	increase before we move on to the other old
21	business because he's going to have to leave.
22	DR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Chairman
23	Chairwoman Partin. This is Eric Wright, and
24	I represent Medicaid recipients and as a
25	parent of two daughters with special needs on
	37

1 the Michelle P. Waiver. I also am involved 2 with social media channels related to 3 Michelle P. Waiver recipients. 4 And there was a letter dated May 5th 5 from the Department of Medicaid. I put that in the chat for everyone to be able to see. 6 7 It relates to some questions that were 8 requested March 24th from, it looks like, the 9 district -- developmental districts and maybe 10 DIAL. It says that ADD is currently 11 receiving a 50 percent rate increase on half 12 the rate of PDS coordination services. And there's some questions about rate 13 14 increases that I am still trying to 15 understand, and I wanted to see if the 16 Department can help those recipients, 17 particularly those who utilize PDS services, 18 to understand what the rate increase -- I 19 mean, I guess I just am looking for more 20 clarity. 21 And the letter -- I can share my screen 22 if you want, but the letter has been included 23 in the chat for everyone to take a look at. 24 And so what I would like to do is just open 25 up a conversation and a dialogue about this

1 rate increase letter that was sent out. 2 COMMISSIONER LEE: So this -- hi. 3 This is Lisa. I think there are a couple of 4 rate increases that we're discussing. 5 know, one rate increase was associated with the budget bill that had a 10 percent -- I 6 7 think a 10 percent legislative directed rate 8 increase in there. And the other was related 9 to temporary increases as a result of COVID -- of the COVID Public Health 10 11 Emergency. 12 And I believe we have Alisha Clark on 13 the phone -- on the call, too. Alisha works 14 with the division of long-term care services, 15 and that oversees the 1915C waivers including 16 the PDS. So I think if Alisha is on, she may 17 be able to answer a few more questions that 18 you may have, Dr. Wright. 19 DR. WRIGHT: Yeah. I think the 20 biggest question seems to be that this 21 legislative rate increase was supposed to go 22 in effect July 1, 2022, but it appears that 23 that rate increase was not enacted at that 24 point. There's been a lot of concern related 25 to that amongst parents of providers -- of

1 recipients, too. I'm just curious about, if 2 you had the legislative rate increase, why 3 that would not be retroactive. 4 MS. CLARK: So -- yeah. Thank you. 5 Good morning. So the legislative increase, that is -- it was done as of 7/1. 6 7 you have to look at -- that our traditional 8 agencies have all the overhead, all the 9 additional stuff that they have to pay for. 10 Whereas, when it comes to PDS, the employee 11 is actually -- or not the employee, I'm 12 sorry. The participant is actually hiring 13 the employee. 14 So at that time, we have to do --15 there's lots of stuff that has to be done on 16 the back end. They have to get new 17 contracts, all of that. And it's not that --18 this isn't a requirement for people to just 19 pay somebody an additional amount; right? It 20 should be based on, you know, if they are --21 they have certain skills that are needed. 22 Maybe if you want to increase the pay, if you 23 can't find an employee so to, you know, 24 onboard an employee. 25 It's not just an automatic 10 percent 40

1	increase for the PDS population. There
2	should be a lot of considerations taken on
3	the back end on if they are going to increase
4	a or an employee's pay. So that's
5	something that has to be discussed with, you
6	know, the participant, their possibly
7	their rep, and the case manager. And new
8	contracts would be needed for that.
9	DR. WRIGHT: And I understand those
10	things. My question is, I know that this
11	was you know, again, I'm thinking of this
12	as somebody who is a member of this council
13	and represents families, you know, that are
14	recipients on PDS waiver services such as
15	Michelle P. Waiver, SCL waiver, home and
16	community-based services waiver.
17	And during these times when it was very
18	difficult to recruit, particularly with the
19	inflationary measures that we're you know,
20	we're seeing across the state, why we are
21	just being notified about these because I was
22	not aware of any of these, you know,
23	increases.
24	And so I think my concern is now: When
25	will contracts be adjusted? Are we looking
	41

1 at July 1, 2023, that the contracts will be 2 adjusted, or is the department working with 3 agencies to ensure that we know that we have 4 the ability to increase this? Is this going 5 to be a permanent increase? Is it temporary? Why are PDS services -- the way I see it 6 7 is, like, we're not being treated equitable 8 in comparison to traditional services. 9 so I do have some concerns, and I'm just 10 voicing it based upon thousands of people 11 that I'm hearing on social media. 12 MS. CLARK: So just to -- you know, 13 to kind of -- you know, traditional and PDS 14 is a little bit different when you look at it 15 because as a traditional agency, they have to 16 hire. They have all the overhead. Whereas. 17 you know, a participant doesn't have that. 18 It's just, you know, they're hiring employees 19 straight out. There's not all the other that 20 they have to do. 21 DR. WRIGHT: And I -- I do get 22 And, I guess, was the legislative 23 intent to -- was to treat these two agencies 24 in inequitable ways, or was the legislative 25 intent to get a 10 percent increase across

1	all the services?
2	MS. CLARK: So let me also go back
3	and tell you part of why this has been a
4	little delayed or difficult to get in.
5	Because with COVID, we had ARPA money that we
6	were could spend. So you had to submit a
7	plan to CMS, and CMS had to approve that. We
8	had a lot of stuff in that plan and were
9	working on moving forward when this
10	legislative budget was approved. I know that
11	there were advocates involved in that.
12	So what happened is then it told us in
13	the budget that we had to use the ARPA funds
14	in order to increase. So at some point, this
15	money is going to run out using those ARPA
16	funds. So when it said that, we had to redo
17	our entire ARPA plan and send that to CMS.
18	So as soon as we got approval under that
19	and was able to also, we had to modify our
20	Appendix K. We then definitely started
21	working to be able to put that in to do
22	that as fast as possible for everybody.
23	DR. WRIGHT: So I guess my
24	question go ahead. My question goes back
25	to: Are we looking at this obviously, the
	43

1	letter seems to very much clarify that we
2	cannot do any retroactive increases even
3	though the intent was the 1st for PDS
4	services. When can families expect to say,
5	hey, we can increase pay by 10 percent to be
6	able to attract and retain employees?
7	MS. CLARK: You can't go back and
8	create a you know, a contract that is
9	that's already occurred. You know, the
10	contracts have to go forward.
11	DR. WRIGHT: Okay. So when do we
12	anticipate the agencies notifying
13	participants that there is a 10 percent
14	increase that they can be able to utilize to
15	attract and retain employees?
16	MS. CLARK: We are working with
17	them now and have had and started having
18	those conversations. But I think that we
19	need to definitely take into consideration
20	that we do have a whole lot of PDS people
21	within the state, and it's also going to take
22	time for them. And, you know, this it
23	shouldn't be an automatic 10 percent increase
24	for everybody across the board. I think that
25	the participant themselves or if they have a
	44

_	
1	guardian, they really need to take into
2	consideration on, you know, the skills that
3	the employees have.
4	DR. WRIGHT: Right.
5	MS. CLARK: You know, maybe how
6	DR. WRIGHT: Who gets to make the
7	determination?
8	MS. CLARK: It should be done
9	through a person-centered service planning
10	meetings.
11	DR. WRIGHT: And when do the
12	agencies anticipate that they're going to get
13	a notice to the parents about this?
14	MS. CLARK: That information, I
15	will have to go back, and I don't have that
16	in front of me. So I'll have to take that
17	back.
18	COMMISSIONER LEE: Dr. Wright
19	DR. WRIGHT: Yeah. Go ahead. And
20	can we keep this on the agenda for our next
21	meeting as well? I think we still have a lot
22	of unanswered questions.
23	COMMISSIONER LEE: Dr. Wright, I
24	was going I was going to ask if you think
25	that it would be beneficial for maybe you and
	45

1	a few others to just have a smaller meeting
2	outside of the MAC meeting to discuss this
3	topic in detail?
4	DR. WRIGHT: I think so. I think
5	definitely.
6	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. So we can
7	do that. We'll get another a smaller
8	meeting on the books to answer and address
9	some of your questions. You can definitely
10	leave it on the agenda for the next time,
11	too, in case we can't get to all your
12	questions. But we'll schedule a meeting with
13	you, and if you think there are others that
14	need to be involved in that meeting, just let
15	us know. And we'll schedule a meeting to
16	discuss.
17	DR. WRIGHT: Well, I think it would
18	be consumer affairs. You know, we do have
19	those consumers that are now I think it's
20	advocating for consumers to ensure that
21	equitable services, equitable pay in both
22	traditional and PDS needs to definitely be
23	I mean, I'm advocating that it should be
24	equitable. If there are traditional
25	providers that are able to bill at a higher
	46

1	rate, then we definitely need to keep it
2	equitable so that we are able to attract and
3	retain, particularly during this time when
4	inflation wage increase has gone up
5	significantly across the board over a
6	two-year period.
7	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. So we'll
8	get another I will reach out to you,
9	Dr. Wright, after this meeting, and we'll get
10	some names. I see, Steve, you've put
11	something in the email, too. So we'll try to
12	get a meeting together just for this very
13	specific topic. Again
14	DR. WRIGHT: I do appreciate that.
15	I apologize that I won't be able to be on for
16	about the next hour as I've got an
17	appointment set up. But I appreciate you
18	guys taking and looking at this, and I think
19	it is definitely something that needs to be
20	addressed by our MAC. Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes. Thank you.
22	CHAIR PARTIN: And, Eric, I'll keep
23	it on the agenda. But if you get a
24	resolution before the next meeting, just let
25	me know, so I can take it off the agenda.
	47

1	DR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Chairwoman
2	Partin.
3	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Moving along,
4	DMS report on billing for community health
5	workers.
6	COMMISSIONER LEE: So community
7	health workers, we have do have our State
8	Plan Amendment approved. Services providers
9	can begin billing July the 1st of 2023. We
10	are working through our system changes and
11	are getting some question and answer
12	together.
13	We appreciate the dental community
14	reaching out to us because the codes that we
15	have are currently not on the dental fee
16	schedule. They're CPT codes, not dental
17	codes. So we're working through that to make
18	sure that the dentists should be able to bill
19	for those services as well.
20	We're also working through questions
21	related to providers such as rural health
22	clinics or FQHCs that may already be using
23	community health workers and are receiving
24	grant funds to pay for those community health
25	workers. So we're having lots of internal
	48

1	conversations and provider input as well as
2	advocacy input as we work forward to plan for
3	July 1st of 2023.
4	CHAIR PARTIN: Commissioner, who
5	are the people that are considered community
6	health workers?
7	COMMISSIONER LEE: They have to
8	be a community health worker would have to
9	be trained and certified through the
10	Department For Public Health. I do have a
11	very small PowerPoint presentation I can do
12	at the next MAC, if you want me to, regarding
13	the community health workers. But all
14	community health workers would have to be
15	certified.
16	CHAIR PARTIN: Yes. That would be
17	helpful because I'm not familiar with them.
18	COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay.
19	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. And then next
20	up is
21	MS. BICKERS: Beth, Peggy has her
22	hand raised, but I'm not sure if it's a new
23	question or she just never took it down.
24	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Peggy?
25	(No response.)
	49

1 CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. I'm going to 2 assume that she just didn't take it down. 3 But, Peggy, if you have a question, just go ahead and speak up. 4 5 Okay. Next up --6 DR. SCHUSTER: Beth, this is Sheila 7 And I never have figured out how Schuster. 8 to raise my hand, so I'm raising my hand. I 9 guess I have a question for the commissioner 10 because -- I'll ask this for my daughter who 11 works at the FQHC in Louisville, and I know 12 that she has grant funding for at least some of her CHWs. 13 14 So, Commissioner, are you getting input 15 from some of the FQHCs that are in that 16 situation? COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes. We have 17 18 received some comments from the FQHCs on 19 whether they could -- for example, one 20 question was: Can we bill for a community 21 health worker if we're not using grant funds 22 for that community health worker? You know, 23 we just have to make sure that we're not 24 duplicating paying for duplicate services, so 25 there would have to be some sort of mechanism 50

1	for them to say, well, we hired this
2	community health worker, and we're only going
3	to bill for her. And we're using grant funds
4	for other community health workers. So we
5	have to and we also have input from the
6	Department For Public Health related to who
7	is receiving grant funds for those community
8	health workers.
9	DR. SCHUSTER: Okay. I'm going to
10	pass this information along to my daughter,
11	if you don't mind. And I guess she can ask
12	at the family health center if somebody is in
13	touch with you because I'm pretty sure they
14	have some grant funding for their CHWs there.
15	Thank you.
16	MR. MARTIN: And if we have core
17	grant funding, we have to be careful as well;
18	right, Commissioner Lee?
19	COMMISSIONER LEE: Exactly.
20	MR. MARTIN: And rural health
21	clinics will be able to bill as well for
22	CHWs?
23	COMMISSIONER LEE: They will be
24	able to bill. They are not subject to the
25	wrap payments.
	51

1	MR. MARTIN: Okay.
2	COMMISSIONER LEE: And, also, the
3	MCOs will be covering, you know, community
4	health workers. The MCOs are also I'm not
5	sure if all of you are aware that the MCOs
6	have been utilizing community health workers
7	for quite some time for a variety of
8	different reasons. All of the MCOs use
9	community health workers. They will continue
10	to utilize their community health workers for
11	very specific outreach and things like that
12	and then the providers will be able to bill.
13	We have in the presentation that we
14	present at the next MAC, we'll outline who
15	exactly can bill, what the codes are, what
16	the rates are, and certification requirements
17	for the for the community health workers.
18	MR. MARTIN: Beth and Sheila, the
19	CHWs are kind of like the old health
20	navigators; right, Commissioner?
21	COMMISSIONER LEE: Very similar,
22	yes.
23	MR. MARTIN: Very similar. And
24	that's been around for quite a while.
25	DR. SCHUSTER: Yeah. I'm familiar
	52

1	and worked with Representative Moser actually
2	on the legislation, 525, a year ago. I just
3	had not thought about the grant-funded versus
4	Medicaid-funded. I was trying to get some
5	clarity on that so appreciate the
6	information. Thank you.
7	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. I'll put it
8	on the next agenda, so we can get a little
9	more a better understanding of that.
10	Okay. Next up is enhanced benefits
11	update on dental and hearing. And in
12	conjunction with that, Kent Gilbert wanted to
13	have a discussion about the regulations on
14	hearing, dental, and vision. And I sent out
15	a link to those regulations to the MAC
16	earlier and then they're cited on the agenda
17	here. So I guess, is that you, Commissioner,
18	or
19	COMMISSIONER LEE: Sure. Sure. I
20	can yes. So very excited about some of
21	the numbers that we're receiving from the
22	Managed Care Organizations in our system
23	regarding some of the use of the new
24	services. For example, 42,831 again,
25	42,831 adults now have got eyeglasses that
	53

1	they did not have before. We think that is
2	just phenomenal.
3	We also have as far as dental codes
4	go, we have I was looking at some of
5	our some of our dental codes. We have
6	1,115 individuals who have complete dentures.
7	We also have another 1,100 that have other
8	dentures and other codes related to dentures.
9	We have we're seeing a lot again,
10	eyeglasses and vision is one of the highest
11	codes that we're seeing come into play here.
12	We are seeing quite a few dental services for
13	adults. We are not seeing a lot of the a
14	lot of the implants. We're seeing more
15	crowns, root canals.
16	In all total, we have about 137,000
17	patients received these new enhanced
18	services, 156,000 services in total. 22,394
19	billing providers have been using these
20	services, and providers have received 7.5
21	million dollars on related to the new
22	services. And that is from January through
23	April of 2023.
24	CHAIR PARTIN: Wow.
25	COMMISSIONER LEE: Very excited.
	54

1	You know, again, the number of glasses is
2	just staggering, I think, to me in the short
3	time frame, but 42,831 adults now with
4	glasses.
5	CHAIR PARTIN: So the MCOs are now
6	covering dentures?
7	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes. Dentures
8	and root canals and crowns, yes, for adults.
9	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Kent, do you
10	have anything else you want to add to that or
11	any questions you wanted to ask related to
12	that?
13	MR. GILBERT: Yeah. Thank you so
14	much and thank you, Commissioner. We're so
15	grateful. I, as you know, represent the
16	Kentucky Council of Churches. And, in part,
17	a lot of our constituents are the folks who
18	have gotten these glasses, and I wanted to
19	celebrate with you how important this has
20	been.
21	I also want to report to the MAC in
22	general that, you know, I these
23	regulations are so critical, and they're so
24	important. But I also think they're kind of
25	under threat. I'm not sure there's a full
	55

1 and complete understanding at the legislature about just how -- what a good value these 2 3 So I asked Dr. Partin if we could have 4 that conversation and also then ask you about 5 how we as a MAC can be supportive of keeping Because I know these regulations, 6 these. 7 once again, in a -- you know, a sort of an 8 offseason hearing have been found quote, 9 unquote, deficient. 10 But I want to lend whatever influence, 11 whatever support we can from the folks who 12 have gotten these dentures, from the folks 13 who have finally gotten a root canal that's 14 fixed pain they've had for years. You know, 15 I just want to say this is a huge value, and 16 the cost is incredibly small to the 17 commonwealth. 18 I think the -- I don't know if you'd 19 But it just seems to me that the 20 preventive nature of these things, and that 21 is to say, people who can see can actually 22 fill out job applications. People who don't 23 have dental pain can actually -- and are 24 seeing a dentist can actually get -- you

25

56

know, prevent -- we can prevent problems that

1	would cause thousands and thousands of
2	problems later.
3	So I'm just curious: What can we do to
4	continue to support this as the process moves
5	forward? And maybe perhaps you could give
6	your perspective on where you think the
7	process is right now.
8	COMMISSIONER LEE: So as you said,
9	the regulations were found deficient at the
10	last hearing. I think that was on May 9th.
11	That was the emergency regulations. The
12	ordinary regulations, I believe, are coming
13	up on June the 13th. There may be a hearing.
14	And I guess anyone who is supportive of these
15	regulations is welcome to go to that hearing
16	and voice their support.
17	We believe that, as you said, Kent, the
18	preventative nature of this is just
19	phenomenal. And we definitely want to give
20	individuals their smiles back. We have heard
21	so many good stories. We have been working
22	with the dental technical advisory committee,
23	and Dr. Bobrowski and his team have been very
24	great about helping us look at some gaps or
25	some inconsistencies on our fee schedule and

1 work those out. We believe that this is the 2 very first step in revising the dental 3 benefit package to actually start focusing on 4 prevention. We know that dental care, oral 5 health care is health care. We know that poor oral health care can lead to heart 6 7 disease, diabetes, and even pre-term 8 deliveries. 9 So there's a lot of focus, I think, on 10 the dental component of this. But in 11 addition, like you said, individuals with 12 glasses can now complete a job application. 13 They can drive. They can see. They're not 14 going to stumble. They're not going to fall. 15 Individuals with hearing aids -- so we 16 haven't seen -- we've just seen very few 17 hearing aids. But individuals who are 18 suffering in the first stages of hearing 19 loss, as soon as they get those hearing aids, 20 their risk of developing early onset dementia 21 is reduced in that very first year by over 18 22 percent. 23 So, again, we believe these are very 24 vital services. We think they are preventive 25 in nature. And as Dr. Bobrowski said, we are

1 ranked 49th in the nation in oral health Now, in 2014, we were ranked 47th in 2 care. 3 overall health care in the state. expanded Medicaid. We've been doing things 4 5 differently. We've been working with the 6 MACs and the TACs on our healthcare policies. 7 And now in 2022, we were 43rd. does show that we can increase in the ranks. 8 9 And so now that we're 49th in the nation in 10 oral health care, we believe that these 11 services are vital to helping us move up the 12 ranks in oral health care. 13 And there are several states, including 14 Virginia, who has expanded their oral health 15 care to adults and in much greater capacity 16 than we have. For example, Virginia now 17 covers three dental cleanings for adults; 18 whereas, our services have two dental 19 cleanings for adults per year. 20 So, again, I think just supporting these 21 regulations and helping us show the value 22 that we're bringing to the Medicaid 23 population. The communications that we've 24 been having -- I think that's one criticism 25 that we have had is that it doesn't appear

1 that we communicated some of our intent for 2 the regulations and for these new services, 3 but we have been communicating that since 4 August of 2022. 5 So I think going to the hearing, the 6 regulation hearing and supporting these 7 services would help us in making sure that 8 they continue, and that future legislation 9 doesn't block us from continuing to deliver 10 these vitally important services to our 11 members. 12 MR. GILBERT: I want to underscore 13 that, and I particularly want to underscore 14 that for those providers who are on the call 15 right now. You know, if -- I want to speak 16 gently but frankly about the state of the legislature, which is not generally 17 18 predisposed to any kind of social service 19 expansion. And I think they have 20 misappropriated some rhetoric that does 21 not -- I think is not helpful in terms of 22 both supporting Kentuckians nor economically. Providers on this call as well as 23 24 others, if we don't speak up -- if they can't 25 hear from the MCOs, if we don't hear from

1 those doctors and dentists and vision and 2 hearing audiologists, if the legislature 3 doesn't hear from you in the comments, I'm very afraid that these are just going to be 4 5 axed as another expansion that we don't need and we don't want. 6 7 And that would be tragic for all of our 8 constituencies including providers. 7.5 9 million dollars in just the first five months 10 paid out to providers. I really think that 11 this has many secondary economic benefits as 12 well as simply the well-being of our most vulnerable Kentuckians. 13 14 So I see that Emily Beauregard has put a 15 comment collector and also that the comment 16 period ends on 5/31. I have taken initiative -- I filled out that comment 17 18 collector. 19 I would like to ask that the MAC members 20 please, please make their own comments on 21 that collector or another kind. You can send 22 a letter. Written comments are being 23 received. There is, I think, as the 24 commissioner mentioned, a meeting in July for 25 the next set of these regs, and they're all

1 referenced in our mailings. I -- like I say, I was not at all happy 2 3 with what I was hearing in that legislative I felt like there was grave 4 hearing. 5 misunderstanding, and there simply was not a presence from the powers that speak most 6 7 loudly at the legislature, and that's frankly 8 the providers. That's you all. 9 You know, I stand up as a pastor of a 10 church, as a leader of a number of 11 denominations. And I say, we think this is 12 important for poor people. And they're like, 13 uh-huh, that's nice. At the moment, the 14 voices that will speak loudest, I think, are 15 those of you who are in the field, those of 16 you who are giving the services, those of you 17 who are managing this care. 18 And I am very, very interested to make 19 sure that we have enough providers who are 20 offering these services because of how 21 valuable members and friends in my network 22 have talked about what they have been able to 23 do with them. So I think as the word 24 continues to get out, I want to see that 25 there is support across the board from all of

1	us on this call, whether you're a member of
2	the MAC or whether you are one of the
3	constituent agencies.
4	If we don't put comments in there, they
5	are going to listen to their own rhetoric,
6	which is it's money. We don't have money.
7	Let's not do this when, in fact, this is
8	money that we're investing, and we are
9	saving. And I just hope that we will take my
10	invitation and do what you can with it.
11	CHAIR PARTIN: Thank you.
12	MS. BICKERS: Beth, we have a
13	couple of hands raised, and I will grab what
14	Emily dropped in the chat and send that along
15	with the email as well. I believe it went
16	Dr. Gupta, Dr. Hanna, Dr. Bobrowski.
17	CHAIR PARTIN: Go ahead, Dr. Gupta.
18	DR. GUPTA: Okay. Thank you.
19	Pastor Gilbert, thank you so much for
20	bringing all that to our attention, and I'll
21	definitely make a comment and try to pass
22	that message along.
23	Commissioner Lee, what is the actual
24	benefit for glasses? Is it one pair of
25	glasses a year per adult, and does that
	63

1	include contact lenses?
2	COMMISSIONER LEE: The actual
3	benefit is one pair of glasses we may even
4	have a dollar amount. I'll have to pull up
5	the regulation and look, and it does include
6	contact lenses.
7	DR. GUPTA: Is that per year or
8	every two years?
9	COMMISSIONER LEE: I may have to
10	have someone in policy help me. Is it every
11	year or every 36 months? I can pull up the
12	regulation and drop that in the chat.
13	DR. GUPTA: Okay.
14	MS. BICKERS: I think I saw Kelly
15	Kitchen on. I'm not sure if she can answer
16	that.
17	MS. KITCHEN: This is Kelly
18	Kitchen.
19	COMMISSIONER LEE: Kelly, do you
20	know what the benefit is for glasses in the
21	regulation?
22	MS. KITCHEN: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER LEE: Is it a dollar
24	amount it's a dollar amount, or is it
25	frames? It's per year.
	64

1	MS. KITCHEN: It's per year, and
2	there is a dollar amount. We are increasing
3	that to the advanced frames.
4	DR. GUPTA: And they could get
5	glasses and contacts together in one year or
6	alternate?
7	MS. KITCHEN: No. They can get
8	glasses. And if it's medically necessary,
9	they can receive contacts.
10	DR. GUPTA: Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER LEE: And we had input
12	from the optometric TAC related to the
13	contact lenses. And so it is if there is
14	some reason that they that an individual
15	cannot wear glasses, then medical necessity
16	would allow them to get contact lenses.
17	And, again, I'd like to thank the
18	optometric TAC for everything that they
19	all of the input that they've had on those
20	new regulations. And, again, the comment
21	period we have the emergency regulations
22	in place now. That comment period will allow
23	us to get comments and make amendments to the
24	ordinary regulation as we go forward.
25	DR. GUPTA: Okay. That's great.
	65

1	Finally. I'm so happy that we're putting
2	more efforts into prevention. That's a
3	long-term solution. Our children will
4	appreciate that for us.
5	COMMISSIONER LEE: We're very
6	excited about the opportunities these will
7	bring. And, again, I think going back to the
8	dental, we know that we spend over nine
9	million dollars a year in emergency room
10	visits for related to dental issues, and
11	we're hoping that that money is going to
12	be you know, going to be reduced. And
13	that'll be more money that we can put into
14	the dental community into a lot of work
15	still to go, a lot of work. But this is
16	definitely a first step.
17	DR. GUPTA: Thank you.
18	CHAIR PARTIN: Who was next on hand
19	raised?
20	COMMISSIONER LEE: I think it was
21	Dr. Bobrowski, maybe.
22	DR. BOBROWSKI: Okay. Pastor Kent,
23	I just you had asked about how to support
24	the dental, hearing, and vision and Medicaid
25	in general. But you hit it, the nail right
	66

1	on the head. We as providers or just members
2	of the state of Kentucky, we have to educate
3	our own legislators. You know, your
4	legislators may not know me. They know you.
5	So I think it's so important to talk with
6	your personal legislators.
7	And right now, this summer and early
8	fall is such a great time. Because once
9	session starts in January, our those
10	legislators are extremely busy. So right
11	now, this summer and this fall is a good time
12	to just go talk to your legislators.
13	And, again, I always want to thank
14	Commissioner Lee for we've been talking
15	about this in our TAC for years, about
16	building smiles, getting people work ready so
17	that they can go to work and not have to put
18	their hand over their mouth.
19	So these are wonderful things to like
20	I said, we've got some issues to work
21	through. Any change you make, you've always
22	got to fine-tune it. That's just part of the
23	nature of making changes.
24	But those are things that I just wanted
25	to kind of re-emphasize what Kent was talking
	67

1	about, was just the it's our job to
2	educate our legislators in the value of
3	getting folks work ready, build their smiles
4	to even just increase and better their
5	self-esteem but thank you.
6	CHAIR PARTIN: And who else was
7	next? There was somebody else?
8	COMMISSIONER LEE: Dr. Hanna?
9	DR. HANNA: There we go. I just
10	had a question. Was the 7.5 million, was
11	that through state funds exclusively?
12	COMMISSIONER LEE: No. That was
13	federal and state funds combined. That's the
14	amount of the claim so claim payments. I
15	know there's been a few numbers floating
16	around. We finally we've been working on
17	these reports for a while, and we finally
18	finalized and made sure that that was
19	strictly for the adult population. So that
20	is all funds total.
21	DR. HANNA: Thank you.
22	CHAIR PARTIN: Commissioner, if we
23	wanted to comment on the regs and speak to
24	the objections, was this the ARRS committee,
25	and what were their objections?
	68

1	COMMISSIONER LEE: So, Jonathan, I
2	may need a little help with that question.
3	MR. SCOTT: Hello. Jonathan Scott,
4	DMS reg coordinator. The objections were, I
5	think they've primarily been about cost.
6	We've I can also forward you the
7	governor's letter where he put the emergency
8	regulations back into effect. That's a
9	public document that's gone out in the last
10	few days.
11	But there were a lot of just general
12	concerns about the Medicaid program that were
13	mentioned. They were wanting to talk about
14	different ways that we could spend the funds
15	and just talk about authorization, whether
16	they've given authorization, whether we
17	already had authorization existing in our
18	system.
19	So a lot of the comments that we've
20	gotten have focused on the value of the
21	services, the public safety benefits, the
22	return on investment, the medical values that
23	we're seeing. So we've gotten a lot of great
24	comments.
25	We had a public hearing last week.
	69

1	Reverend Gilbert attended as well as KVH and
2	the KOHC. So we've gotten a lot of really
3	good comments so would love to hear anything
4	else you have to say. The emergency
5	regulation comment period is open through the
6	end of this month. The ordinary regulation
7	comment period will remain open through next
8	month.
9	So you can send the comments that you
10	have to the comment aggregator that was
11	mentioned, but you can also email them to
12	chfsregs@ky.gov. You can also send them to
13	me, and we'll include them in the eventual
14	statement of consideration that will be
15	issued. There's also the possibility that
16	we'll make some amended after comments
17	versions of the reg as well as we go through
18	and keep getting information.
19	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. And it's the
20	ARRS committee?
21	MR. SCOTT: Yes. ARRS.
22	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
23	Any other comments?
24	DR. SCHUSTER: Beth, this is Sheila
25	Schuster, and I just wanted to say and, of
	70

course, I think behavioral health is about everything, so this is about behavioral health, too. If people are in chronic pain, as we know they are, with -- particularly with dental pain, their mental health is not good. And, actually, Dr. Bobrowski talked like a good psychologist, talking about self-esteem and the ability to smile and not have to be embarrassed about your looks, about your teeth, about bad breath, about those kinds of things.

But the other thing that is really a puzzle to me -- and I read the comments from the legislators at the ARRS meeting. You know, the legislature has been so critical of people who are Medicaid members, saying that they are not participating in the workforce and using really pejorative descriptions of people.

And it is so frustrating to me that if you think about hearing, vision, and dental, what -- what could be more productive for people to get them work ready than those things? I mean, Kent said it well. You can't pull out a job application. You can't

1 do your work if you can't see. 2 We know that undetected and untreated 3 hearing loss -- and the commissioner pointed out the correlation with early onset 4 5 dementia. But that is such an isolating disability to have, to have hearing loss 6 7 that's untreated and makes it almost 8 impossible for people to enter into the 9 workforce. And then we've already talked about the dental benefits. 10 So I think there's a lot to be said for 11 12 those of us who serve on the MAC as representatives of Medicaid members. 13 14 so much here that's ripe for comment. 15 so appreciate Kent bringing this to the MAC 16 and to KVH for collecting those comments. 17 Thank you. 18 CHAIR PARTIN: And I would like to 19 add to that. Nutrition. You know, if you 20 don't -- if you don't have teeth and you have 21 diabetes, you can't eat the food that you 22 need to eat. You're eating noodles and 23 things that aren't helpful for people who are

24

25

72

vegetables and other healthy foods.

diabetic because they can't chew fruits and

There's

1	So besides the problems with poor
2	dentition leading to endocarditis and all
3	other kinds of physical problems, nutrition,
4	I think, is at the top of my list as far as
5	people who don't have teeth. So, you know,
6	lots of reasons why this is important.
7	Any other questions? Okay.
8	Commissioner, you're up again.
9	COMMISSIONER LEE: So I have a
10	couple of updates, I think, some good news.
11	We just recently received a State Plan
12	Amendment approval for to add licensed
13	alcohol and drug counselors and behavioral
14	health associates to our array of billing
15	providers. I think that'll probably be
16	something that will most likely be discussed
17	in the next Behavioral Health TAC meeting.
18	As you know, there have been federal
19	rules that came out that mandated states
20	cover provide continuous coverage for
21	children effective January 1st of 2024. And
22	as part of our unwinding efforts, we decided
23	to go ahead and implement that April 1st of
24	2023. So we are now currently having we
25	have 12 months' continuous coverage for

1 children. 2 We just wrapped up some provider forums. 3 I saw many of you out there. We had a really good turnout. For those of you who could not 4 5 participate in the forums, we are 6 providing -- pulling together some question 7 and answers, and they will be provided on our 8 website. They will be posted along with all 9 of the presentations that were delivered 10 during the public -- during the provider 11 forums. 12 And, you know, the presentations mainly were focused on just some of the updates that 13 14 we have done during the Public Health 15 Emergency such as community health workers. 16 You'll see a lot of information on community health workers. We also had some focus on 17 18 behavioral health, our Kynect state-based 19 exchange, and unwinding efforts. 20 So I think with that, I think the 21 deputy -- senior deputy commissioner, 22 Veronica Judy-Cecil is on here, and she can 23 give you an update on unwinding. 24 MS. JUDY-CECIL: Hello again. Nice 25 to see everybody. I am going to share my 74

screen, and I will do my best to not take too 1 2 But I get talking about unwinding and 3 restarting renewals, and I lose track of time. 4 5 So I did try to focus only on an update from the last presentation that we provided 6 7 to the MAC at the last meeting. Can you all 8 see my screen? Is that showing? 9 CHAIR PARTIN: Yes. 10 MS. JUDY-CECIL: Thank you. 11 thing I want to note that has changed since 12 the last time -- and part of this is due to 13 the fact that we are implementing 12 months' 14 continuous coverage for children. In order 15 to ensure that children that are going 16 through a renewal during this 12-month 17 unwinding period have access to that benefit, 18 we have pushed a majority of those cases to 19 start in September so that our system will be 20 ready to do that in an automatic way. 21 Until then, we are doing a manual 22 process for any child determined eligible 23 because there are some children still going 24 through a renewal. But if they are 25 determined eligible, we will retroactively

1	add that 12 months' continuous coverage to
2	them to make sure they don't get disenrolled
3	due to a change in circumstance.
4	So we have pushed those cases, majority
5	of them, to start in September, which is a
6	change in our caseload distribution. So I
7	wanted to I don't think we've shared this
8	information in this format, but this is
9	this is what our distribution looks like over
10	the 12 months.
11	Of course, we've already you know,
12	May 31st is quickly approaching. We are
13	concerned about that, and I'll talk about
14	that in a minute. June renewals, notices
15	have already gone out. Those went out
16	towards the beginning of May, so we're now
17	working on both May and June renewals.
18	But just to show you, by pushing those
19	cases with children in them, it has greatly
20	increased not greatly but has increased
21	our caseloads towards the end of the
22	unwinding period. We will continue to
23	monitor that in terms of workload.
24	Our department for community-based
25	services, who makes eligibility

determinations and redeterminations, has been part of this conversation, making sure that they have the ability to handle that higher caseload towards the end of the unwinding.

But, you know, just to point out -again, I've spoken to this -- is we are
trying to keep November and December as some
of our lowest caseloads due to the holidays
and shorter workdays.

This was the -- since we last met, we did file our unwinding baseline data report with CMS. This is a requirement for the 8th of every month that we'll provide an update about what happened in the previous month. So this was just level setting with CMS, a snapshot of what our system looked like as we start to unwind.

Not a lot of information in here that is particularly helpful. But just to let you know, that's been filed. It is on our website, as is the May 8th report that we filed that told CMS basically how many cases have we started -- have we initiated for renewal, how many fair hearings have been requested. So that report is on our

1	unwinding website, and we'll continue to post
2	those.
3	Just wanted to provide some updated
4	information on what we're seeing for both May
5	and June renewals. So in May, we had a
6	little over 72,000 cases, and we do process
7	at the case level. I've mentioned that
8	before.
9	So there could be several folks in the
10	household that are part of that case. Some
11	households have one person. Some have two.
12	Some have three. But we do do it at the case
13	level.
14	Of those, 49,500 were determined
15	passive. If you recall, what that means is
16	they are cases that we can go out and ping
17	the federal hub which has a bunch of
18	different databases: IRS, citizenship,
19	immigration. We can go out and verify
20	information through that process, and there's
21	nothing that the member generally has to do.
22	So we had 49,500 passive cases and
23	22,930 active cases. And the active cases
24	are the ones that get that renewal packet
25	because there's a lot more information that

1 we need and to verify to be able to determine their eligibility. 2 3 So just as a snapshot, since May 15th for May, we have completed 3,200 actual 4 5 renewals. So folks have responded to those notices, sent those in. Almost 2,300 of 6 7 those determined eligible. 8 239 are transitioning to QHP. What that 9 means is that our system -- even though 10 they're no longer eligible for Medicaid, we 11 can tell that they are eligible based on 12 income for a Qualified Health Plan and the 13 advanced premium tax credits that make those So we call it 14 plans affordable. 15 transitioning but, basically, what's 16 happening is those individuals are being sent 17 to the marketplace. They're notified that 18 they need to choose a plan, and they should 19 do that before the end of their coverage so 20 that there's no gap. So 239 of those in May. 21 And then 913 have been determined 22 ineligible, so their termination will happen 23 on May 31st because we've already made that 24 determination. We had information and were 25 able to make that.

1 Just to note, of the passive cases, in 2 May, we were able to successfully passively 3 renew 60 percent of those. So that means that of those passive cases, 60 percent, we 4 went out and verified their information on 5 6 that federal hub. They don't have to do 7 anything further. Their coverage is already 8 automatically extended for 12 months. 9 Anybody that we couldn't passively renew 10 but -- what we do is send them a request for 11 information. So you're going to hear passive 12 with RFI a lot when we talk about the 13 population. So they do have to still respond 14 prior to their end date to let us know if 15 there's -- to verify something because we 16 weren't able to complete that by doing that automatic verification. 17 18 So in June, similar. We have about 19 73,000 cases. Active case -- passive cases 20 were a little higher this month, and let me 21 stop and say you cannot look at one month and 22 extrapolate that across the 12 months. Every month is different. 23 24 I showed you that redistribution of the 25 That's because we have prioritized caseload.

1	populations in different months. So you
2	can't take one month and say, oh, well, this
3	is what's going to happen next month. It's
4	very different. And that's challenging, I
5	know, for folks. Because you want to take
6	data, and you want to use it, and you want to
7	forecast. But it really is impossible to do
8	that by looking at a month.
9	So for June because you can see,
10	there's quite a there is quite a
11	difference already. We had a higher number
12	of passive cases. So we had a higher number
13	of cases that would qualify for us to go out
14	and automatically renew, but we had only
15	50 percent of those cases we were able to
16	automatically renew.
17	These are lower than what is typical
18	prior to the Public Health Emergency but not
19	unexpected since we're unwinding. And the
20	reason is because we do know we have a lot of
21	folks that are covered, because we kept them
22	covered during the three years, that are
23	covered that are ineligible. We know it.
24	But what we want to make sure is that we
25	are getting that right, we're making the

1	determination correctly, and that we're
2	connecting them to other coverage. You've
3	heard me talk about that a lot. That's the
4	other critical piece. Are they Medicaid
5	eligible? Then let's make sure they complete
6	everything they need to remain Medicaid
7	coverable. But if not, let's make sure they
8	are covered in some other way, so Medicare,
9	employer-sponsored, a Qualified Health Plan.
10	So also to note, in June, we had about
11	17,000 active cases. Active cases are
12	primarily or majority fee for service. So
13	they're the ones that are in our nursing
14	facilities, in our 1915C waivers. So when
15	you see active case, the majority of those
16	are fee for service.
17	For June, we've already processed 444
18	cases. And as you can see, 363 have been
19	already determined eligible, 33 have
20	transitioned over to the marketplace, and 81
21	have unfortunately been determined
22	ineligible.
23	Lots and lots of outreach we're
24	continuing. So we are calling every single
25	active renewal. If the member has a renewal
	82

1 packet or gets a request for information at 2 the beginning of their renewal time, we are 3 calling that household. And we are going to 4 keep calling them until we reach them, until 5 we -- they understand that they have to take action. 6 7 So we are doing that through our contact 8 center. The Managed Care Organizations are 9 also outreaching to these folks because, 10 really, the critical piece here is that they 11 take action prior to the end of their 12 coverage, either -- whatever they need to do 13 to stay in Medicaid or to move over to 14 another healthcare coverage. 15 So we did do a combined 40,000 renewal 16 There are almost 45,000, those notices. 17 passive renewals requests for information 18 that I told you about. So we dropped those 19 individuals -- when we couldn't verify them, 20 we've dropped them to that RFI. 21 That will mean we are going to have probably a higher number of individuals that 22 23 are administratively terminated. But keep in 24 mind, that doesn't mean that they're eligible 25 and they didn't take action. It just means

we sent them a notice. But maybe they know they're no longer eligible, so they're not responding. But they're going to be categorized as administratively terminated because we did send them a notice. So we're really trying to make sure everyone understands that number is going to look high, but we are making sure that individuals who are still Medicaid eligible are able to take action and complete that.

We've sent over 57,000 email messages as a result of somebody's renewal. We are getting -- we are seeing some undeliverable mail. And as soon as it comes back to us, we are immediately outreaching to the person in whatever mode of communication we have available to them. If there is an updated change of address that's reported back to us, we will resend the notice to them.

We also have implemented the national change of address database. So now, prior to sending out notices, we will go out and ping that database. And if somebody has taken that step to report their change of address, our system will automatically consume that

new address and send the notice to that 1 2 address. We just redid that and went back 3 and captured some folks in June that we're 4 now going ahead and resending some of those 5 notices back to. But at the bottom of the slide, you'll 6 7 see that -- lots of contacts. So the alert 8 calls are those ones we're making to say, 9 hey, you've got an active renewal. 10 you're paying attention to that. The nudges 11 are where we've received something, but it's 12 not enough. 13 And we want to make sure they know that 14 additional action is needed on their part, so 15 we're calling them back and saying please 16 make sure that you're sending this additional 17 information in because we can't complete your 18 redetermination. If that doesn't happen, by 19 the end of the month -- so, you know, for 20 May, May 31st, then, you know, they will be 21 disenrolled. 22 And the good news that we keep trying to 23 remind everyone is that a member has 90 days 24 after their termination to still complete 25 that renewal. And if they do and they're

Make sure

eligible, we will reinstate them back to their end date so that there is no gap. So even if you're seeing, as a provider, a member come in and they've just lost coverage, it's still good to remind them, hey, why don't you contact the state. Why don't you see if you can get that taken care of because if you do, your coverage will be reinstated.

This looks tiny, but what this is just letting you know is that May 8th report that we sent to CMS on our unwinding website, again, just letting CMS know how many -- how many beneficiaries were eligible for renewal, how many hearings have been filed, and it's just our way to track the metrics.

The other thing that has changed since we last talked that I want to make sure all providers understand, and that is the Office of Civil Rights, which is the office that ensures compliance with HIPAA-compliant platforms for telehealth -- so we are not changing telehealth, what services can be delivered by telehealth. But the Office of Civil Rights has clarified that beginning

1 August 9th, they're going to start enforcing 2 the use of HIPAA-compliant platforms. 3 So if you're using FaceTime, FaceTime is probably not HIPAA-compliant. Just make 4 5 sure -- if you're a provider that has used 6 some of the probably easier platforms out 7 there, just make sure that it's compliant and 8 that you're using a compliant one starting 9 August 9th. We did want to make sure that 10 providers were aware of that. 11 We also -- I think -- I don't think I 12 had this information when we last met, but we did add to KYHealth-Net the redetermination 13 date of a member. So all providers can go 14 15 into KYHealth-Net and see on the member panel 16 that member's redetermination date. 17 A couple of things to note. 18 members don't have one, and the reason for 19 that is not everybody has an annual renewal 20 that's required. So it may not reflect that 21 there's an actual redetermination date. 22 What happens is when that person's 23 circumstances change so they're no longer 24 eligible for whatever category granted them 25 Medicaid eligibility, then that's when they

1 would get redetermined. And they may lose eligibility unless they qualify for another 2 3 category. The other thing is there might be an old 4 5 date on there. And if there is, that is just our system kind of being -- you know, being 6 7 the system it is. And it just -- it just 8 captured an old date. That date is likely 9 the date they came into Medicaid, but they 10 are not subject to an annual renewal. 11 Now, just because they're not subject to 12 an annual renewal doesn't mean that if 13 they -- if they're -- during the three years, 14 if they lost eligibility for some reason, we will be processing them, and they will get a 15 16 notice. But most -- most individuals will 17 have a date. 18 So as a patient comes in, a couple of 19 things we're asking. Please check their --20 because you're checking their eligibility 21 anyway; right? So maybe check to see when 22 the renewal date is. If it's close, if it's 23 in the next 30 to 60 days, share that 24 information with the member. Make sure 25 they're watching for notices. Give them the

1 Kynect hotline to call to check. Just --2 just, again, to make sure that they know that 3 something is happening. 4 We know that you all as providers ask 5 for updated contact information. 6 when the patient comes in, generally ask: 7 Has anything changed? Your address? Your 8 phone number? Well, if you see somebody's 9 information has changed, a Medicaid member 10 information has changed, that's the other 11 opportunity that we're asking providers to 12 say to the member: Have you updated that with Medicaid? 13 14 It's important that we have the updated 15 contact information because, as I mentioned. 16 lots of outreach is going on. We want to 17 make sure they receive their notice. We want 18 to make sure we can call them and help them 19 understand what's happening. 20 If you are a waiver provider or a 21 long-term carry and you use the Kentucky 22 level of care system, KLOCS, there is a 23 report that you can pull out of that that 24 tells you when your patient's renewal date 25 So that's another feature that we've is.

1 added to that system. 2 There's also -- so there's a quick reference guide available. There's also some 3 4 specific FAQs related to the waiver providers 5 because they have a different authority under which we've been operating for their 6 7 flexibility. So it's called Appendix K. 8 Appendix K doesn't expire until six 9 months after the Public Health Emergency. all those flexibilities are generally tied 10 11 until November 3rd -- excuse me. November 12 11th. But we are communicating with those 13 providers, and we will keep you updated on, 14 as that approaches, what's happening. 15 I just want to stress one other thing 16 that we're really focusing on, is that a 17 member who is no longer Medicaid eligible and 18 does move over and wants to choose a plan 19 on -- a Qualified Health Plan on the 20 exchange, they have to choose the plan prior 21 to the end date, or there will be a gap in 22 coverage. 23 Because for the exchange, those -- that 24 coverage is always prospective. There is no 25 retroactivity with the qualified health plan. 90

So if a member, for example, with a May 31st end date does not go over and choose that health plan before the end of this month, they will have a gap in coverage. So we're just trying to reenforce that.

The other thing I want to note is that just because a parent, guardian, or head of household is no longer eligible does not mean that child is not eligible. If there's a child in the household, the child may still qualify because we have different federal poverty levels for children. So we also want to make sure that individuals understand that if the parent has to go out and choose a qualified health plan, that doesn't mean the child has to, you know, too. That child could still remain in Medicaid.

So we're trying to make sure that everyone understands. That's why it's really important to look at the notice and respond to it because we put that in the notice, to make sure they understand that you might go choose a Qualified Health Plan, but your child could remain covered by Medicaid if you respond to the notice.

1	Website, just to reenforce, lots of
2	information on there. We're continually
3	updating it. So a great way to pull down
4	information to learn about what's happening.
5	And then ongoing stakeholder meetings.
6	The third Thursday of every month during the
7	unwinding period at 11:00 a.m. Eastern Time.
8	If you cannot attend, we will record and post
9	it including any question responses to any
10	questions. So at your convenience, you can
11	go out and see that.
12	And then I'm going to reiterate, we're
13	really utilizing social media to keep
14	everyone updated. It is the quickest and
15	easiest way for us to provide information.
16	We were made aware of a scam. And later that
17	day, we were able to post it.
18	So if you just follow one, Facebook,
19	Twitter, or Instagram, just like us or follow
20	us on one of those just to keep updated on
21	what's going on with all things unwinding.
22	All right. And I'm happy to take any
23	questions.
24	CHAIR PARTIN: That was a lot.
25	MS. JUDY-CECIL: And we will send
	92

1	these slides out to you as well.
2	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. That would be
3	great. Thank you.
4	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Reverend
5	Gilbert
6	MS. BICKERS: We have oh, sorry,
7	Veronica.
8	MS. JUDY-CECIL: That's okay. Go
9	ahead.
10	MR. GILBERT: Oh, okay. Veronica,
11	thank you so much. Listen, I'm a little
12	concerned about those numbers, about 17,000,
13	20,000 unprocessed. Is there a paperwork
14	backlog? I mean, how are you handling that,
15	and how does that affect renewals?
16	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Oh, excellent
17	question because it's not that there's a
18	backlog. What we're finding is really slow
19	to respond. And I was on a national call
20	with eligibility leaders on Tuesday, and this
21	is not unusual. At least, it's not
22	Kentucky is not the only state experiencing
23	this. That doesn't make it okay.
24	I think what's strange for us is that we
25	are doing so much outreach. So like I said,
	93

1	calling households, texting, emailing. You
2	know, we're doing so much outreach, yet we're
3	still seeing the response rate to be a little
4	slow. However, I do anticipate, and we I
5	should say we anticipate that we know that
6	there's a large percentage our normal
7	average is 9.8 percent of terminations per
8	month prior to the Public Health Emergency.
9	I think we're anticipating that to be a
10	little closer to 15 percent, and the reason
11	for that is because we know we have folks who
12	are no longer eligible. And so they are
13	probably not responding to the notices.
14	But, you know, that's not going to stop
15	us from continuing to reach them prior to the
16	end of the month. And then we will we do
17	plan to go back after May 31st, we do plan
18	to go back and evaluate who dropped off, and
19	what do we know about them. Do we know that
20	they're in Medicare? Do we know that they
21	have third-party liability? So they've got
22	an employer-sponsored plan. You know, did
23	they go enroll in a QHP?
24	So we're going to go back and in
25	those three months following, we will

1	continue to outreach to folks. And the
2	Managed Care Organizations will, too, to
3	reach people who have been terminated just to
4	give them that 90 days' opportunity, that if
5	something happened and, you know, maybe they
6	thought they were no longer eligible, you
7	know, give them that opportunity to come back
8	in.
9	But, you know, we are monitoring the
10	State's ability to process. It's not it's
11	not at a critical mass yet. And I will say
12	that any member whose determination has not
13	been made so they've responded. We have
14	something on file, but we haven't processed
15	it. They do not get terminated. They do not
16	get terminated until we make a determination.
17	So we do, though, expect you know,
18	we're seeing the response rate now start to
19	creep up. But, you know, we are concerned
20	about the large numbers that haven't
21	responded.
22	MR. GILBERT: A quick follow-up and
23	then I'll turn it over to Sheila. If I'm on
24	Medicaid, is there a portal where I can go in
25	and see what you showed that the providers

1	can see? Can I see my termination date? Can
2	I see my status? Can I see my claims?
3	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Absolutely, yes.
4	A Medicaid member can get into Kynect, and
5	we've been encouraging them to create that
6	Kynect account.
7	MR. GILBERT: Yeah.
8	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Understanding
9	that's not
10	MR. GILBERT: I know. That's not
11	everybody's thing.
12	MS. JUDY-CECIL: You know, it's not
13	for everybody.
14	MR. GILBERT: Yeah.
15	MS. JUDY-CECIL: That's right. But
16	yes, you can go onto Kynect and then you
17	can in that account, you'll get notices as
18	well. So in that and you can upload. You
19	could take on your phone, you could take a
20	picture of a document and upload it that way.
21	So it is a great it is a great
22	resource for individuals who have the ability
23	to go and create that account. The other
24	thing they can do and some of the system
25	improvements that we've made is that they can
	96

1	call in, and they can, through that automated
2	process, find out what their date is as well.
3	So they can call the hotline. They can if
4	all they're wanting to know is when their
5	redetermination date is, they can also do it
6	that way.
7	MR. GILBERT: Thank you.
8	DR. SCHUSTER: Veronica, this is
9	Sheila Schuster, and thank you for this great
10	information. And I applaud Medicaid for
11	doing the active outreach that you all are
12	doing. I'm amazed that the returned mail
13	was, like, 2,500. I mean, I remember back in
14	the day because you and I go back way back
15	in Medicaid, that we used to it felt like
16	tens of thousands of letters that were
17	returned to Medicaid; is that right?
18	MS. JUDY-CECIL: We did have about
19	a 30 to 40 percent return rate. That is
20	correct.
21	DR. SCHUSTER: Yeah. That's
22	amazing, and it really shows how the word has
23	gotten out for people to at least update
24	their addresses.
25	The other question I have is really
	97

1	about the telehealth piece, which you've
2	touched on, because this has come up in
3	several ways at the last BH TAC meeting. And
4	I think Medicaid has I mean, CMS has made
5	some changes in the use of telehealth for
6	Medicare patients. And that got a little
7	confusing, I think, around hospital maybe
8	outpatient behavioral health services.
9	But is CMS looking at maybe issuing new
10	guidance on making more platforms HIPAA
11	compliant?
12	MS. JUDY-CECIL: I so
13	interestingly enough, I think the change is
14	actually coming from the platform side. So I
15	think the like, FaceTime, I think they're
16	looking at their platforms to find out what
17	can they do to make it more HIPAA-compliant.
18	You know, I honestly cannot guess what
19	the Office of Civil Rights or CMS might do to
20	try to make that a little less onerous and
21	burdensome. But I do know I have heard
22	that the platforms themselves are trying to
23	make those changes.
24	DR. SCHUSTER: Yeah. I'm hoping
25	they will because I think people have gotten
	98

1	into the habit, both the providers and the
2	members, of communicating on
3	non-HIPAA-compliant platforms. And I think
4	it's going to be a rude awakening when we get
5	to that point, so I hope that's the case.
6	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Yeah. And that's
7	one of the reasons we wanted to talk about
8	it, is just to make sure everyone understands
9	what's going on. But it's out of our
10	control.
11	DR. SCHUSTER: Yeah. I know
12	it's I know if you all were making the
13	rules, we would have lots more platforms be
14	deemed HIPAA-compliant. But you all will
15	make sure that all of us represented here on
16	the MAC and all the TACs get notified of any
17	changes that are made with regard to
18	platforms, telehealth platforms?
19	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Yes. Definitely.
20	DR. SCHUSTER: Yeah. Thank you so
21	much, Veronica. Great information.
22	MS. JUDY-CECIL: You're welcome.
23	DR. SCHUSTER: And you're going to
24	share this. Or Erin can send this out to us,
25	and we'll have it.
	99

1	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Yes.
2	DR. SCHUSTER: Wonderful. Thank
3	you.
4	MS. JUDY-CECIL: She has it.
5	DR. SCHUSTER: Yeah. Thank you.
6	CHAIR PARTIN: Thank you. Any
7	other questions?
8	MS. JUDY-CECIL: So it looks like
9	there is just one other thing I want to
10	mention. So MCOs are working really closely
11	with providers. If a provider wants to be
12	super involved and supportive, the I know
13	the MCOs are providing, especially to primary
14	care providers, their PCP lists of members
15	and when their renewal date is so that if a
16	member comes in regularly, you can already
17	have that information available to you.
18	So if you want to be really proactive,
19	certainly reach out to your Managed Care
20	Organizations. They know, you know, to try
21	to work and partner with providers through
22	that.
23	But, you know, I think one of the
24	challenges is that we have to be careful
25	about how we're sharing information. So
	100

1	we're just being really sensitive to the
2	lists that we're providing through that
3	through that mechanism. We want to make sure
4	there is that provider/patient relationship.
5	So thank you. I'll stop there.
6	CHAIR PARTIN: Thank you. I'm
7	going to put this back on the agenda for next
8	meeting just so we can get updates; okay?
9	Okay. Anything else from you,
10	Commissioner?
11	MS. JUDY-CECIL: I don't think she
12	had anything else to share.
13	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
14	Okay. Then we will move along to the TAC
15	reports. And we have about 30 minutes, so
16	that's not a lot of time for all of these
17	reports.
18	So I would ask that the TACs who are
19	reporting, just give your recommendations.
20	And I'm sorry we don't have time to get other
21	good information. But next meeting, maybe if
22	you have to leave stuff out, you can share
23	it.
24	MR. MERRITT: Sure. Absolutely.
25	Do you want me to go ahead, Elizabeth?
	101

1	CHAIR PARTIN: Are you therapy?
2	MR. MERRITT: So no. My name is
3	Patrick Merritt. I did send a message. I'm
4	the new Primary Care TAC chairman.
5	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay.
6	MR. MERRITT: So I do have to step
7	off at 11:00. I didn't know if you wanted me
8	to give a report really quick on our findings
9	or recommendations before I do step off.
10	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. We'll go out
11	of order. You're second today so go ahead
12	and then we'll go back to therapy.
13	MR. MERRITT: Yeah. Really quick,
14	and I won't take up much of your time. Thank
15	you so much. Like I said, my name is Patrick
16	Merritt. I am the new chair for the Primary
17	Care TAC.
18	We did have the privilege to meet on May
19	4th. We were able to introduce all the new
20	members of the TAC committee. We received
21	updates from the Senior Deputy Commissioner
22	Cecil as well as the MCOs. And at this time,
23	there are no specific recommendations for the
24	MAC.
25	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
	102

1	MR. MERRITT: Yes, ma'am.
2	CHAIR PARTIN: Therapy Services?
3	(No response.)
4	CHAIR PARTIN: Physician Services?
5	DR. GUPTA: This is Dr. Ashima
6	Gupta. The Physician TAC met on May 19th.
7	We had a quorum. We decided to table our two
8	recommendations, so I have no recommendations
9	to offer right now.
10	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
11	Pharmacy?
12	DR. HANNA: The PTAC did not meet
13	since our last meeting.
14	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay.
15	Persons Returning to Society From
16	Incarceration?
17	MR. SHANNON: Steve Shannon. We
18	had no recommendations. I put that in the
19	comments. Thank you.
20	CHAIR PARTIN: Thank you.
21	Optometry?
22	DR. COMPTON: Yes. We met on May
23	4th. Had a very productive meeting, but we
24	have no recommendations at this time.
25	CHAIR PARTIN: Thank you.
	103

1	Whoa. We're going to we are going to
2	move through this quickly.
3	Nursing Services?
4	MS. BICKERS: I don't think they
5	have a rep on today, Beth.
6	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
7	Nursing Home?
8	MR. JOHNSON: This is Wayne Johnson
9	with the Kentucky Association For Healthcare
10	Facilities. We do not have a TAC report.
11	CHAIR PARTIN: Thank you.
12	Intellectual and Developmental
13	Disabilities?
14	MR. CHRISTMAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
15	Yes. We met on April the 4th, and we have
16	the following recommendation: That the
17	Department of Medicaid Services in
18	conjunction with the department for IDD and
19	CAP review and revise as necessary the
20	regulations regarding the involuntary
21	termination of services for people who
22	participate in the SCL and Michelle P.
23	Waivers. That's it.
24	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
25	Hospital?
	104

1	MR. RANALLO: This is Russ Ranallo
2	for the Hospital TAC. The Hospital TAC did
3	not meet. Our next meeting is in June.
4	CHAIR PARTIN: Thank you.
5	Home Health?
6	MS. BICKERS: They have not had a
7	meeting this year.
8	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay.
9	Health Disparities?
10	MR. BURKE: Yeah. This is Jordan
11	Burke. I'm the chair for the Health Equity
12	and Disparity TAC. We met on May 3rd. We
13	don't have any recommendations at this time.
14	CHAIR PARTIN: Thank you.
15	EMS?
16	MR. SMITH: Yes. This is Keith
17	Smith, the EMS TAC. The EMS TAC met on April
18	24th. However, we did not have quorum. We
19	don't have any recommendations at this point,
20	but I did want to brief the committee that
21	the issues that we had before as far as EMS
22	services closing down this summer is still
23	ongoing.
24	We still appear to have distance between
25	the insurance companies and what EMS needs to
	105

1	move forward, and it's getting to the point
2	now that EMS services are having to bill
3	hospitals because they are unable to get
4	payment for the services they are doing
5	through Medicare. One hospital has contacted
6	me that they've got a bill for over \$50,000
7	from one insurance or from one EMS
8	company. Another hospital has \$7,000 worth
9	of bills to cover or being asked to cover
10	because they're not getting paid. And
11	another hospital has had close to \$10,000
12	worth of bills sent to them because of
13	Medicaid not being able to get paid because
14	of the preauthorization issues.
15	So we do have some significant issues
16	within the EMS TAC that we're trying to work
17	on. Other than that, we have no other
18	business.
19	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
20	Please keep us updated on that.
21	MR. SMITH: Yes, ma'am.
22	CHAIR PARTIN: Dental?
23	DR. BOBROWSKI: Yes. This is
24	Dr. Bobrowski. We're continuing to work with
25	DMS. We're just out here killing germs and
	106

1	building smiles. But we don't have any
2	recommendations to the MAC. Thank you.
3	CHAIR PARTIN: Thank you.
4	Steve Shannon, did you have I see
5	your comment. Is that something that you
6	need to say to the MAC, or is that something
7	to DMS?
8	MR. SHANNON: DMS primarily.
9	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
10	Consumer Rights and Client Needs?
11	MS. BEAUREGARD: Good afternoon,
12	everyone. Emily Beauregard with Kentucky
13	Voices for Health and chair of the Consumer
14	TAC. We met on April 20th. We did have a
15	quorum, and we met remotely using Zoom. We
16	revisited and discussed a number of the
17	topics that we typically do.
18	Just real briefly, I do want to express
19	our appreciation for all of the work that DMS
20	is doing related to Medicaid renewals. We
21	often well, I should say in my particular
22	organization, we talk with a lot of national
23	groups on a regular basis. And we know what,
24	you know, is happening in other states.
25	We've seen some of this in the news, and
	107

Kentucky really is being very, very proactive and putting in place, you know, as many sorts of flexibilities and as much, you know, information, education, opportunity to, you know, find those renewal dates and to be proactive as, you know, possible. And so we think that that's all been, you know, really beneficial for Kentuckians with Medicaid coverage.

I will second, you know, Kent's concerns about the low response rate, and I think that it is really up to all of us stakeholders, you know, whether we're advocates or providers, to be reaching out to Kentuckians of Medicaid coverage proactively, not waiting until they end up coming in for care and finding out that they've lost their coverage.

So anything that we can do to share information and to make sure that we are asking people if they've received anything in the mail or helping them find that renewal date, I think, would be really, really helpful at this point. And knowing that so many of those active cases are people in long-term care and people with waiver

1 programs, I think, providers play an even 2 bigger role in that situation. So just 3 something to really keep in mind and to work 4 into, you know, what you're doing in your 5 practice. 6 And also really appreciate the new 7 updated dental, vision, and hearing 8 regulations. We are collecting comments, as I mentioned in the chat, and have been 9 10 pleased to already receive about 50. I'm 11 hoping to continue to collect more, of 12 course. So not only would we love for members of 13 14 the MACs and TACs to submit comments but 15 also, of course, to share because most people 16 aren't aware, you know, of public comment periods, especially when they're for things 17 18 like a regulation. And so getting it out to 19 people in a form that is a little easier for 20 them to receive and to engage with, I think, 21 can be helpful. 22 And as far as those regs go, you know, 23 we know that these services are critical to 24 people's health. We know that there's a 25 fantastic return on investment. We have

109

1 heard, of course, that there's a network 2 adequacy issue. And I think we need to continue to work on network adequacy, whether 3 that's increasing rates to have more 4 5 providers participating, but that's not really, I think, the primary way. 6 7 sure that MCOs are providing adequate 8 networks and that we, you know, have enough 9 providers who are participating in the 10 program, that's really where we're going to 11 make the most impact because that's how 12 people actually receive the services. But we don't want that to overshadow the 13 14 fact that these are absolutely necessary 15 services, and I think that we need to just be 16 clear in our comments, you know, not to -not to let the rate conversation overshadow 17 18 the rest of it. That's something that we 19 need to continue working on long-term. 20 And I was happy to hear Steve's 21 presentation earlier about those -- you know, 22 how you could potentially leverage the 23 provider TACs to increase rates. 24 there's clearly some, you know, limitations

I would love to hear more about that,

there.

25

1	you know, value-based payment and the
2	opportunities to do essentially what
3	hospitals have done with tying quality
4	metrics to increase payments and if we can do
5	that for other provider types as well. So
6	just something to think about.
7	We did make a few recommendations at our
8	April meeting for the MAC's consideration.
9	And the first is that DMS create an
10	orientation packet for all new TAC and MAC
11	members so that people can, you know,
12	participate a little more effectively, be
13	more engaged, understand terms and a little
14	bit more of a history of these programs.
15	That's especially true for consumers, of
16	course, or stakeholders who aren't Medicaid
17	providers, but I even think Medicaid
18	providers often kind of get thrown into
19	something, the policy side of things that
20	they don't necessarily aren't necessarily
21	that familiar with.
22	Our second recommendation is that DMS
23	track and report on the impact of
24	House Bill 75 for hospital-based outpatient
25	providers and independent providers. So
	111

1	House Bill 75, of course, was creating that
2	opportunity for hospitals to be paid the
3	average commercial rate for meeting certain
4	quality metrics, similar to what hospitals
5	have been getting for inpatient. And we know
6	the independent providers weren't included in
7	that so want to see how we can potentially
8	look at the impact and perhaps do the same
9	thing outside of hospitals.
10	And the third recommendation is that DMS
11	track and report on the impact that
12	House Bill 525 has on access to CHW services,
13	those community health workers that were
14	discussed earlier.
15	So those were our recommendations. Our
16	TAC will be meeting again on June 7th.
17	Thanks.
18	CHAIR PARTIN: Thank you, Emily.
19	Children's Health?
20	MS. WHATLEY: Hi. Alicia Whatley
21	with Kentucky Youth Advocates and the
22	Children's Health TAC. We did meet on
23	Wednesday, May 10th, but we do not have any
24	recommendations at this time.
25	CHAIR PARTIN: Thank you.
	112

1 Behavioral Health? DR. SCHUSTER: 2 The Behavioral 3 Health TAC met on May 11th. We had a quorum. 4 We had people there from DMS and DBHDID. 5 We do have a recommendation to the MAC. 6 The BH TAC recommends to the MAC that 7 Kentucky Medicaid establish and distribute a 8 clear policy statement on targeted case 9 management as it relates to an integrated 10 plan of care for the individual to whom TCM 11 is being provided. This policy statement 12 should be developed and distributed as 13 quickly as possible because of recoupment 14 actions being taken by a Medicaid MCO against 15 providers of targeted case management. 16 This was a new issue. We have -- one of 17 the MCOs has decided to take a very different 18 interpretation of a regulation that has been 19 in effect since 2015 on what constitutes 20 targeted case management and has come back on 21 several providers in big amounts of 22 recoupment. And we think this is 23 unconscionable, quite frankly. 24 And so we reached out in the meeting, 25 and I'm happy to say that I've had some 113

preliminary feedback from Leslie Hoffman,
that she and Stephanie Craycraft who is the
acting commissioner of the Department For
Behavioral Health, Developmental Intellectual
Disabilities are working on this.

But it's a little bit scary, folks, when a service like targeted case management that is really the -- I think the life breath -- the life support for so many people with significant behavioral health issues and has been delivered by providers for years and years and years, is operating according to a reg that's been effect for eight years. And then one of the MCOs up and decides that they're going to interpret it differently and come back and try to recoup tens of thousands of dollars from a provider. So we are hoping for a quick resolution of that, and that's our recommendation.

I would like to thank Deputy

Commissioner Hoffmann and other DMS staff who worked so diligently and came up with a way to find a workaround. You may remember at the last MAC meeting, that we sounded the alarm on a new change that had been made

1	where codes for extended therapy time limits
2	had been just taken off the books. And we
3	know that we have clients who need more than
4	the traditional 50-minute hour of therapy.
5	And it was remarkable how quickly the Deputy
6	Commissioner Hoffmann and Commissioner
7	Craycraft worked to resolve that issue and
8	have issued the information to all the
9	providers for a workaround. So we really
10	thank them for that quick work.
11	We do have several issues that are of
12	concern, and one of them well, another
13	success, let me just say that, is and
14	you've heard me talk about the problems that
15	we have with dual eligibles, people that have
16	Medicaid and Medicare or have Medicaid and a
17	commercial insurer. And we have finally
18	not we, but the MCOs have come together led
19	by Herb Ellis from Humana and have signed off
20	on and DMS has now signed off on a bypass
21	list for clients that have both Medicaid and
22	a commercial insurer.
23	So this solves a problem that I think
24	Steve Shannon and I have been working on for
25	at least 15 years. And we are just very

115

1 grateful for the work of the MCOs to come 2 together and to work with DMS staff. 3 I must ask, though -- because we have 4 now heard that Medicaid -- Medicaid is 5 thinking about putting all dual eligibles 6 into fee for service rather than MCO payment. 7 And, Madam Chair, I don't know if it's 8 possible for me to ask that question as part 9 of my report. If not, I will need for you to 10 put it on for the next meeting. 11 somebody from Medicaid can respond to that, I 12 would appreciate it. MS. JUDY-CECIL: I don't think 13 14 there's anything we can share at this time. 15 DR. SCHUSTER: Okay. Then I would 16 request, Madam Chair, that we put that issue on, and I'll send you an email with the 17 18 But, basically, we've worked so wording. 19 hard now to be able to work with the MCOs and 20 get payment for people that have dual 21 eligibility; in other words, more than one 22 payer, Medicaid plus another payer. And if 23 DMS is looking at putting all of them now in 24 fee for service, we would certainly like to 25 know that.

1	The other thing that came up at the most
2	recent ARRS meeting was a response, I
3	believe, from Commissioner Lee about that
4	Medicaid was doing a behavioral health rate
5	study, which was the first that any of us had
6	heard about that. And we will be asking
7	about that at our next BH TAC meeting.
8	And if we you know, I don't see how
9	DMS can do a BH rate study without including
10	providers or without at least letting us know
11	that it's ongoing. So we will have that on
12	our next meeting, which will be on July 13th.
13	Thank you very much.
14	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
15	Do we have any other business?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Would
18	somebody like to make a motion to accept the
19	reports and recommendations from the TACs?
20	MR. GILBERT: So moved.
21	CHAIR PARTIN: Second?
22	MS. ROARK: This is Peggy Roark.
23	DR. BOBROWSKI: Second.
24	CHAIR PARTIN: Any discussion?
25	(No response.)
	117

1	CHAIR PARTIN: All in favor, say
2	aye.
3	(Aye.)
4	CHAIR PARTIN: Any opposed?
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Reports and
7	recommendations accepted.
8	Okay. Since we have no other
9	business do we have any other business?
10	Somebody want to say something?
11	DR. SCHUSTER: I think it was just
12	throat clearing.
13	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
14	Okay. Would somebody
15	MS. BASHAM: Hey, Beth, this is
16	Nicole Basham with Passport. I just wanted
17	to ask a question. I know there's an
18	expectation the MCOs present in the summer
19	months to the MAC.
20	Is there a required outline or deck that
21	you would like to see, or are there talking
22	points you'd like to see? Or is that not
23	yet developed?
24	CHAIR PARTIN: It would be the same
25	outline that we used last year.
	118

1	MS. BASHAM: Okay. Okay. Thank
2	you. I just wanted to get clarification.
3	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Anything
4	else?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. OWEN: This is Stuart Owen with
7	WellCare. So regarding that, last year, it
8	was in July or excuse me, January that it
9	was announced that MCOs would present, and
10	there was a schedule. And they're very large
11	presentations, so and I think they began
12	in May, maybe even in March.
13	So I guess yeah. I mean yeah. I
14	mean, we just need something official on
15	that, something firm on that. Because it's
16	already late May, and this is you know,
17	would be the first that we're hearing about
18	it. And it takes a while to, you know,
19	prepare the data and everything.
20	CHAIR PARTIN: Sure. We'll get
21	that out right away.
22	MR. OWEN: Okay. Thank you.
23	MS. BICKERS: Yeah. Beth, if
24	you this is Erin with DMS. If you don't
25	mind to send me that information. You hadn't
	119

1	requested any presentations from the MCOs, so
2	I hadn't put anything out to them. So if you
3	can just let me know what you would like from
4	them, I will get that information out to
5	them, and we'll create a schedule on when
6	they need to present over the next few
7	meetings.
8	CHAIR PARTIN: Do we have do you
9	have the outline from last year that we used?
10	MS. JUDY-CECIL: We do. Yes. We
11	can revive that, and we'll send it over to
12	you and just see if there's anything you want
13	to adjust on it.
14	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Perfect.
15	Thank you.
16	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Yep.
17	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. So go ahead
18	and get that to me and then, Erin, we'll go
19	ahead and in the next week or so, we'll
20	get the schedule out if that's okay with you.
21	MS. BICKERS: Yes, ma'am.
22	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
23	Anything else?
24	(No response.)
25	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Does somebody
	120

1	want to make a motion to adjourn?
2	DR. SCHUSTER: So moved.
3	CHAIR PARTIN: Second?
4	DR. BOBROWSKI: Second.
5	CHAIR PARTIN: Who was second?
6	DR. BOBROWSKI: Bobrowski.
7	CHAIR PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
8	Okay. All in favor?
9	(Aye.)
10	CHAIR PARTIN: Thank you,
11	everybody. We'll see you July.
12	(Meeting concluded at 12:22 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	121

1	* * * * * * * * *
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I, SHANA SPENCER, Certified
5	Realtime Reporter and Registered Professional
6	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
7	typewritten pages are a true and accurate transcript
8	of the proceedings to the best of my ability.
9	
10	I further certify that I am not employed
11	by, related to, nor of counsel for any of the parties
12	herein, nor otherwise interested in the outcome of
13	this action.
14	
15	Dated this 6th day of June, 2023.
16	
17	
18	/s/ Shana W. Spencer
19	Shana Spencer, RPR, CRR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	122